1							
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO						
2		RN DIVISION					
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	:CASE NO. 1:18-cr-0043					
4	Plaintiff, vs.	: : IN-PERSON TRIAL					
5	YANJUN XU, also known as XU	: PROCEEDINGS					
6	YANJUN, also known as QU HUI,	:19th of October, 2021 :9:25 A.M.					
7	Defendant.	:					
8	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS						
9	BEFORE THE HONORABLE TIMOTHY S. BLACK, JUDGE						
10	APPEARANCES:						
11	For the Plaintiff: Timothy S. Mangan, Esq.						
12	Emily N. Glatfelter, Esq. Assistant United States Attorneys						
13	221 East Fourth Street, Suite 400 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202						
14	and Matthew John McKenzie, Esq.						
15	United States Department of Justice National Security Division						
16	950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, D.C. 20530						
17	Jacqueline K. Prim						
18	Special Assistant, Paralegal United States Department of Justice						
19	National Security Division 950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW						
20		on, D.C. 20530					
21	For the Defendant: Ralph Wil	lliam Kohnen, Esq.					
22	Jeanne Marie Cors, Esq. Sanna-Rae Taylor, Esq.						
23	Courtney Lynch, Esq. Taft Stettinius and Hollister						
24		Walnut Street, Suite 1800 ti, Ohio 45202					
25		and					
	1						

1		1
1		Robert K. McBride, Esq.
2		Amanda Johnson, Esq. Taft Stettinius and Hollister
3		50 East RiverCenter Boulevard Suite 850
4		Covington, Kentucky 41011 and
5		Florian Miedel, Esq. Miedel & Mysliwiec, LLP
6		80 Broad Street, Suite 1900 New York, New York 10004.
7	For the WCPO-TV:	Darren W. Ford, Esq.
8		Graydon Head & Ritchey LLP. 312 Walnut Street.
9		Suite 1800. Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
10		
11	Also present:	Mae Harmon, Interpreter Yanjun Xu, Defendant
12	Law Clerk:	Cristina V. Frankian, Esq.
13	Courtroom Deputy:	Rebecca Santoro
14	Stenographer:	Julie Hohenstein, RPR, RMR, CRR
15		United States District Court 200 West Second Street
16		Dayton, Ohio 45402
17		
18	Proceedings reported by mechanical stenography, transcript produced by computer.	
19		*** *** ***
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	INDEX						
WITNESSES	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RE			
JAMES MULVENON, Ph.D.,	73	120					
	INDEX OF	EXHIBITS					
EXHIBITS			MARKED/ IDENTIF	'ICA			
Government's Exhib				83 85			

1 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 10:25 A.M. 2 COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise. This United States 3 District Court for the Southern District of Ohio is now in session. The Honorable Timothy S. Black, District Judge 4 5 presiding. THE COURT: Please be seated. It's 10:25. We're 6 here in the open courtroom in the criminal case, United 7 8 States versus Xu. 9 The Government team is present in full, correct, 10 Mr. Mangan? 11 MR. MANGAN: Yes, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Mr. Kohnen, the Defense team and the 13 Defendant and the interpreter are here, and you're all here; 14 correct? 15 MR. KOHNEN: Yes, Judge Black. 16 THE COURT: Very well. I'm Judge Timothy S. Black 17 presiding in this matter. I'd like to address the issue of 18 public access in light of the Motion of Scripps Media, et 19 al., to intervene. If Mr. Ford would please approach the 20 podium? 21 Good morning. 22 MR. FORD: Good morning, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: I have your motion and read it; came in 24 late last night. I want to make a statement to you and to 25 everyone, and then if you need to be heard further, you're

welcome to be.

The suggestion that this Court is trying to be anything other than transparent or to accommodate the needs of the public among others is just flat out wrong.

We are in unprecedented times. We're in the midst of a global pandemic. This is the first Jury trial in -- during the pandemic I've presided at. It's the first high profile trial mid-pandemic.

We needed to accommodate, first and foremost, the impanelment of the Jury, which included ensuring the safety of everyone involved.

Accommodating public access is not lost on us. We've been working for weeks to find a way to ensure that members of the public can have access to the proceedings but that has been challenging due to a number of factors beyond the global pandemic, including technology limits and COVID safety protocols.

Among those protocols is social distancing. When everyone is socially distanced, we can only fit 15 Jurors in the courtroom, and they take up the entire gallery.

The plan was always to select the Jury and then tweak the protocols as able. We did this this morning by asking if the Jurors were comfortable not being socially distanced; and to our delight, they've all said that they would like to be in the Jury box next to each other. They

wanted the Plexiglass down, and I would not have known that until we picked the Jury.

That opens up going forward the gallery. I believe many of the issues you raised are mooted by that development, which we would not have had until today at this moment.

Constitution Right of access is not absolute.

Court's are obligated to take every reasonable measure to accommodate public attendance at criminal trials.

Any partial closure must be narrowly tailored, the Court must consider reasonable alternatives, and the trial court must make findings adequate to support the partial closing.

In an opinion published on September 30 in Case

Number 1:21-CV-597, I spoke to the pandemic. Quote, since

the start of August, our region has seen 448,599 new

hospitalization admissions of patients with confirmed

COVID-19. The case rate this month is roughly double what

it was during the first six months of the pandemic.

In Hamilton County alone this week, as of 9-30, there were 2,304 new confirmed cases of COVID with 172 new hospital admissions and ten deaths. These deaths are preventible if we follow safety protocols.

And that is what has driven the Court from day one. Voir dire's behind us. We won't argue about that. I don't

want to argue about how we did this going forward.

In all Jury cases typically we put on the docket a sealed transcript of the voir dire proceedings and a redacted copy publicly if there's anything that we have to redact. We intend to do that in this case, and I don't want to talk about it more unless we need to. That's behind us.

Going forward, because the Jury is willing to sit in the Jury box next to each other, the gallery is open. I think that accommodates your press representatives. I don't know how many more there are going to be, and I don't know how many members of the public are going to want to sit in the gallery; and they really should be socially distanced.

But given where we are, and if you are able to acknowledge that I actually am in favor of public access -- I know the law. We worked really, really hard; and for somebody to come in and yell in the courtroom strikes me as unprofessional at best; but I think the issues are largely moot.

I cannot provide a video transcript -transmission. We tried that. We'll keep working on it. We
looked at it. It's not going to work for us. There's no
cameras in the courthouse. We'll have to build something,
among other things.

We've looked at other courtrooms. They're not

1 available. For the last month and a half the day our trial 2 was scheduled to start, there were four or five other Jury 3 trials to start, and there are a whole host of reasons that 4 this courtroom works well for the parties and the staff, so my proposal is that we open the gallery to the public and 5 6 the press, continue to present an audio feed -- which the Courts have recognized as a restriction that in the proper 7 8 context is acceptable -- and I'm ready to proceed, and my 9 Jurors have been here since 9:30 -- or 9:15, and I want to 10 get on with it. 11 So at least as to presence in the courtroom, I 12 think we're moot. Do you wish to be heard briefly? 13 MR. FORD: Your Honor, given the modification of 14 what I understand is the order that you issued yesterday, 15 the suggestion would be audio access only. With the opening 16 of the gallery, that would moot our request to have access 17 to the courtroom and resolve the issue. 18 THE COURT: So may we proceed? 19 MR. FORD: Your Honor, that, that -- that's within 20 your discretion, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: I'm sorry? 22 MR. FORD: I said that's within your discretion, 23 Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: I know that. Have you been heard, and 25 are you comfortable with the development that opens the

```
1
       access to the courtroom?
2
                MR. FORD: Your Honor, on behalf of WCPO, Paula
 3
       Murphy, and the Cincinnati Enquirer, we've lodged our
 4
       objection, and it's been satisfactorily resolved. No
 5
       further business before the Court.
                THE COURT: Your motion is moot?
 6
7
                MR. FORD: I would agree with that, Your Honor.
 8
                THE COURT: You would what it?
 9
                MR. FORD: I agree, Your Honor. That due to the
10
       modification, that the motion is no longer necessary to be
11
       heard.
12
                THE COURT: There's to be no recording in the
13
       courtroom by anyone, understood?
14
                MR. FORD: Yes, Your Honor.
15
                THE COURT: Masks at all times, understood?
16
                MR. FORD: Yes, Your Honor.
17
                THE COURT: And socially distanced as best one can;
18
       and if somebody is uncomfortable with not being sufficiently
19
       socially distanced, they probably shouldn't come in the
20
       courtroom.
21
                MR. FORD: Understood, Your Honor.
22
                THE COURT: It's been my honor and great privilege
23
       to see you.
24
                MR. FORD: Thank you, Your Honor.
25
                THE COURT: I don't get a chance to say it often.
```

```
1
      You're free to go or stay.
 2
                MR. FORD: Thank you, Your Honor.
 3
                THE COURT: I believe the next step is to get the
 4
       Jurors and proceed to opening statements. Are we ready to
 5
      do that or are there matters that need to be brought to my
 6
       attention before we proceed in that way?
7
                MS. GLATFELTER: Your Honor, we're ready. May I
 8
       ask the Court's permission to approach and just check my
9
      computer and make sure we're all ready to go?
10
                THE COURT: Yes.
11
                MS. GLATFELTER: Thank you.
12
                THE COURT: But you're ready otherwise? You can
13
       approach now while we watch or --
14
               MS. GLATFELTER: Just while the Jury's coming in, I
15
      can make sure.
16
                THE COURT: All right. Is the Defense ready to
17
      proceed?
18
                MR. KOHNEN: Yes, Your Honor.
19
                THE COURT: Very well. Ms. Santoro, will you call
20
       for the Jury, please? Ms. Glatfelter, you can approach.
21
      Ms. Glatfelter, have you completed your test? Do you want
22
      us to pop something up?
23
                MS. GLATFELTER: I think it's ready to go. I see
24
      the blank screen, and that's the screen I have up. Thank
25
       you, Your Honor.
```

```
1
                THE COURT: Very well. On the record, as we
2
       proceed, when one of the lawyers appears -- approaches to
 3
       speak, as a first matter, will you state your name to assist
 4
       the court reporter. You can do it how you choose.
 5
                Are you comfortable wearing a mask during opening,
 6
       Ms. Glatfelter, or do you want to take it off?
 7
                MS. GLATFELTER: I'm comfortable either way. I
 8
       think Mr. Kohnen and I should be consistent and so --
 9
                THE COURT: I just assume you both wore it or both
10
       remove it. You guys want to vote or do you want me to
11
       decide?
12
                MS. GLATFELTER: It doesn't matter to me.
13
                MR. KOHNEN: My vote is to allow me to give the
14
       opening without a mask on, Your Honor.
15
                THE COURT: Are you good with that, Ms. Glatfelter?
16
                MS. GLATFELTER: I am. Thank you, Your Honor.
17
       just want to make sure the Jurors are comfortable. They've
18
       sacrificed by sitting together, and we removed the
19
       Plexiglass.
20
                THE COURT: Very well. I'm going to tell them,
21
       I've given people at the podium permission to lower their
22
       mask unless there's an objection from the Jury.
23
                COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise for the Jury.
24
       (Jury entered the courtroom.)
25
                THE COURT: Jurors can be seated as they join us.
```

All 15 Jurors have joined us. You may all be seated. Good morning to the 15 members of the Jury. I know you were here on time, by 9:15, and I want to apologize for keeping you waiting. If you want to be upset with anybody, be upset with me.

As you know, we're ready to go. We're going to start with opening statements. Opening statements are not evidence. They're supposed to be a road map from each side as to what they expect the evidence will be. It's not evidence. It's designed to assist you.

The Government goes first; followed by the Defense. We'll see how the day progresses, because after that we're going to start to hear evidence from witnesses, and that's going to happen today.

So thank you for being here and making the commitment to be here throughout and to follow the instructions I have already given you.

I am inclined to tell the lawyers who make opening statement from the podium that they can take their mask.

Does that make any of you uncomfortable? If it does, tell me. You've already made significant concessions by agreeing to sit in the Jury box together.

(No Juror raised their hand.)

THE COURT: I see no hands. We will proceed to opening statement. On behalf of the Government?

13 1 MS. GLATFELTER: Thank you, Your Honor. Emily 2 Glatfelter. May I approach? 3 THE COURT: Yes. Thank you. OPENING STATEMENT BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFF: 4 5 MS. GLATFELTER: May it please the Court, opposing 6 counsel, ladies and gentlemen of the Jury, good morning. 7 The Defendant got caught. The evidence will show the 8 Defendant, Xu Yanjun, is a foreign intelligence officer. 9 He's a spy for the Chinese Government who conspired to and 10 attempted to steal secret information about jet engines for 11 himself, his agency, and the Chinese Government. 12 From roughly 2013 to 2018, the Defendant worked 13 toward that goal, and you're here today because he got 14 caught doing it. 15 In March 2018, the Defendant flew around 16 8,000 miles from China to Europe to clandestinely meet with 17 an engineer who worked for one of the top jet engine 18 manufacturers in the world. 19 Only Mr. Xu was not meeting the engineer using his 20 identity. He was using an alias, a cover, which you will 21 hear is a common tactic used by intelligence officers around 22 the world. 23

To conceal his true identity and employment with the MSS -- the Chinese intelligence agency where he worked -- the Defendant used the alias Qu Hui; and the cover

24

25

```
1
       was that he worked for JAST -- and you'll see that name on
 2
       the screen -- the Jiangsu Science and Technology
 3
       Association.
 4
                THE COURT: Are we putting something up on the
 5
       screen?
 6
                MS. GLATFELTER: Yes, Your Honor. One moment, Your
7
       Honor. One moment, Your Honor.
                THE COURT: The technology issue is on us. Give us
 8
 9
       just a moment. We'll get better as this goes.
10
                MS. GLATFELTER: Your Honor, if I may, may I ask
11
       the assistance of Ms. Prim, who works for our office, and
12
       she also has the PowerPoint on her screen?
13
                THE COURT: Very well.
14
                MS. GLATFELTER: Thank you, Your Honor. Yes, and,
15
       Ms. Prim, if you can go to the second slide? Thank you.
16
                And thank you, Your Honor, for bringing that to my
       attention. It looked like it was up in front of the Jury.
17
18
                THE COURT: It's on us. I apologize.
19
       (A document was shown on the screen.)
20
                MS. GLATFELTER: Okay. To conceal his true
21
       identity and employment with MSS, the Chinese intelligence
22
       agency where he worked, Mr. Xu was using the alias Qu Hui --
23
       and you'll see that up on your screen -- and he was using
24
       the cover Jiangsu Science and Technology Association, JAST.
25
                In fact, the Defendant had previously given a
```

business card with this name and cover to the engineer when they met previously.

(A document was shown on the screen.)

MS. GLATFELTER: And you'll see that up on your screen. The Defendant was eager to meet with the engineer because the engineer was bringing a hard drive full of information that the Defendant had requested.

You see before the meeting, the Defendant had given the engineer specific instructions about what to do, and what to bring to their covert meeting.

He told the engineer, collect systems specification and design process, and gave the engineer a list of the company information that he wanted.

He directed the engineer to create a file directory -- which is a 60-page list -- of all of the data and the files on the engineer's company computer, and he told the engineer to dump his files on an external hard drive; and he instructed him to keep downloading more data from his company computer and bring it with him to the meeting, and you'll see those messages.

When the Defendant and another MSS agent arrived at the pre-arranged meeting location in Belgium, they were arrested; and law enforcement recovered from them multiple phones, electronic storage devices, computer equipment, thousands of dollars of U.S. cash wrapped in brown

envelopes, personal photographs of the engineer's family, and a passport with the Defendant's true identity, Xu Yanjun, even though he was posing as Qu Hui when he met with the engineer.

This is one of the numerous meetings and events you'll hear about during the course of this trial where the Defendant was furthering the goal and the objective of stealing secret information from aviation companies -- global leaders in the aviation industry.

This case is not only about what the Defendant and the conspirators agreed to, and what they attempted to do; but how they did it, the deception, the lies, the trade craft that they used to accomplish the objective over a period of years because those things helped tell the story about who the Defendant really is, what he was trying to accomplish, and for whom he was doing that.

This is my opportunity to give you a road map of how the United States will prove the Defendant committed the crimes with which he is charged and to explain some of the evidence you'll hear over the next few weeks. So I want to take a step back for a moment and give you an overview of what you will hear.

Now, first, you'll learn that this Defendant along with others -- called co-conspirators -- worked on behalf of Chinese Government and agreed to steal and attempted to

steal trade secrets from the aviation industry, and you'll learn that was from a larger pattern directed from the very top of the Chinese Government.

You will hear from Dr. James Mulvenon. He's an opinion leader in Chinese industrial espionage, and he will explain to you that the People's Republic of China -- or the PRC, which is the official name of the Chinese Government -- is very different from ours.

One of the biggest differences is that the Chinese Government owns, operates, and directs many Chinese companies. Relevant here are companies in the aviation industry. The Chinese Government owns and controls these companies.

Now, I don't mean that the Chinese Government regulates those companies like our Federal administration -- or Federal Aviation Administration, the FAA, regulates GE Aviation and Boeing.

What I mean is that the Chinese Government actually owns the equivalent of GE Aviation and Boeing in China.

Two of the companies that you'll hear during the course of this trial -- Ms. Prim, if you can go to slide 5?

(A document was shown on the screen.)

MS. GLATFELTER: Thank you -- are AVIC, the Aviation Industries of China, which produces military and civilian aircraft; and COMAC, the Commercial Aircraft

Corporation of China, which is part of AVIC, and it works for -- works on producing commercial aircrafts to compete with Boeing and Airbus.

These companies, AVIC and COMAC, collaborate with NUAA.

If you can go to next slide, Ms. Prim?

(A document was shown on the screen.)

MS. GLATFELTER: NUAA -- which you'll see the acronym on your screen -- is the Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics -- I'm sorry -- Aeronautics and Astronautics.

The evidence will show that NUAA works closely with members of the MSS -- like the Defendant -- and members of AVIC and COMAC.

The evidence will show that through these entities, China is attempting to build its own jet engines. You will learn from Rizwan Ramakwadala, who works for U.S. Defense Security Administration, and he'll tell you that China's indeed attempting to build an aircraft engine modelled after the very one by GE Aviation, the most successful commercial gas turbine engine in the world.

And he'll tell you that the United States protects certain products and technology from being exported from this country; and that to export certain products and technology, you need a license.

Part of his job is to review those licenses and determine whether the export would be a threat to our national security. His job requires him to understand both the technical side of the industry, and the U.S. domestic market and international market.

In other words, he must know and he must understand who makes the best jet engines, and what makes those engines the best, and who is trying to build those jet engines around the world.

He'll tell you that through reviewing multiple requests from the Chinese companies like AVIC, trying to export U.S. technology, from reading statements by Chinese companies and the Chinese Government, and even through visiting one of these aircraft companies in China, he knows that the leading aviation company in China wants to build an engine using the type of fan blade and the type of materials used by GE Aviation; but they haven't been able to do it. They haven't figured it out despite years and years of trying.

The bottom line is that China needs additional information to advance in this industry, and the Chinese Government dedicates significant amounts of resources to meet this goal, to collect scientific and industrial information to try to catch up to what GE Aviation and companies like GE have spent millions of dollars over many

years developing.

And while the Chinese Government collects a lot of publicly available information, some of the information that they need to advance is hard to obtain and that's because global aviation companies protect the technology -- such as trade secret information -- that makes them successful, that makes -- that gives them a competitive edge in the market.

The evidence will show, for example, that GE
Aviation uses physical security and cyber security measures
to protect their technology.

Physical security means things like controlling the access to buildings on their campus, and cyber security means using measures like controlling access to computers and electronic information through passwords and things called dual factor authentication.

And because this technology, this aviation technology that China needs is so closely guarded, the evidence will show that the PRC has relied on intelligence agencies to use traditional espionage tactics.

That is, spies pretending to be someone they're not to get information and through cyber espionage, like hacking, to steal what they cannot develop themselves.

If we can move to the next slide, please. (A document was shown on the screen.)

MS. GLATFELTER: The MSS, or the Ministry of State Security, is China's primary external intelligence agency, and you can think of the MSS like the C.I.A., but the PRC has made the mission of the MSS. Their mission is to obtain foreign technology from the most advanced countries in the world, and they do that for the benefit of their own Chinese companies.

The MSS functions like this. The evidence will show that AVIC reports up to the chain -- up the chain to PRC Government what types of information they need. Those requirements get passed to the MSS, and the MSS goes out and tries to get it.

Essentially they give the MSS shopping lists of information they need, and you will see some of these lists during the course of this trial.

Now, how does the MSS function internally? Well, during the trial the evidence will show that the MSS is comprised of various Bureaus. Each one has a different function. One of those Bureaus is the Sixth Bureau, and that's the very same Bureau you will learn that the Defendant worked for.

Now, the PRC is divided into 23 provinces, and you can think of provinces like states, and each state has a branch of the MSS.

Jiangsu is one of the provinces that I just

22 1 mentioned, and its branch of the MSS is called the Jiangsu 2 State Security Division or the JSSD. 3 The Sixth Division is where the Defendant worked, 4 and he didn't just work there. He was the Deputy Division 5 Director of the Sixth Bureau. The evidence will show that the Defendant and his 6 conspirators attempted to obtain secret information and 7 8 secret technology in two ways. 9 First, by recruiting, by developing, and by 10 manipulating human sources to steal the technology for them; 11 and they also used Internet intrusions, sometimes with the 12 human sources that they've recruited. 13 In terms of acquiring technology through human 14 sources, the evidence will show that the Defendant and his 15 MSS agents used the same techniques, trade craft, used by 16 intelligence officers around the world. 17 These tactics include, for example, using multiple 18 aliases and cover. And what I mean by cover is acting like 19 you work somewhere else. 20 The Defendant, Xu Yanjun, has two aliases you'll

hear about during the trial -- Qu Hui and Zhang Hui.

And if we can show the next slide? (A document was shown on the screen.)

21

22

23

2.4

25

MS. GLATFELTER: And on the this slide you'll see the spelling of the different names. Xu Yanjun, which is

the Defendant's true identity the evidence will show, and these are the various aliases he used -- Qu Hui and Zhang Hui.

Along with these aliases he used a web of E-Mail addresses and social media accounts he used to contact engineers with these aliases; and the Defendant and his co-conspirators would use these aliases and covers and their associates at NUAA -- that's state university I was talking about before -- to lure engineers to China for so-called exchanges. Now, exchanges are kind of like presentations, and they would typically occur at NUAA.

The Defendant and his conspirators would target
Chinese engineers who worked at global aviation companies
and invite them to speak at NUAA, and this would occur
during a trip to China.

They would offer to pay for the airfare and the expenses of the engineer, and while some members of NUAA may attend the presentations or the exchanges, so would scientists from AVIC and COMAC and MSS agents.

Jim Olson is the former Chief of the

Counterintelligence of the C.I.A. He served more than

30 years in clandestine operations overseas. He is

currently a professor and teaches courses on intelligence

and counterintelligence, and he will tell you that these

tactics are classic techniques used by intelligence

officers.

2.4

Mr. Olson will tell you that from a perspective of an intelligence agency like the MSS, the function of these exchanges is to wine and dine the aviation engineers.

While these exchanges appear legitimate on the surface, the purpose of the wining and dining of the aviation engineers is to spot and assess potential new recruits for the MSS and determine if in the future they will provide secret information.

One aspect is determining if the engineers would accept money in exchange for the information. After the exchange, if the Defendant and his colleagues assessed that the engineer would do that or is a viable target, they would recruit the engineer and task that engineer to provide secret information or perform certain acts for them in the future.

Now, in terms of Internet intrusions, you'll hear how the Defendant coordinated an Internet intrusion with other MSS operatives by using human sources. That is, by co-opted employees or co-opted insiders inside the company that they recruited, and they used those insiders to plant Malware on a company computer.

For example, you'll hear about GE Aviation, and how the Defendant tried to obtain trade secrets from GE Aviation by exploiting one of its engineers.

GE Aviation derives a competitive advantage from its aircraft engines, specifically the fan blade system made from composite materials.

In fact, GE Aviation is the only company in the world to use that combination -- to successfully use a composite material for both a jet engine fan blade and the composite materials that surround the fan blade.

This technology gives GE Aviation a competitive edge because they can produce lighter engines, which translates into better fuel economy; and they can produce larger engines, which translates into larger planes to carry more cargo or people.

And during trial, you'll hear from a GE Aviation engineer who specializes in composite materials used in the fan blade encasement system.

The GE engineer took a trip to China in 2017. One of the Defendant's associates, Chen Feng, invited the GE engineer for an exchange; and that is to present at NUAA during the trip.

The engineer agreed to do that, but the engineer didn't know Chen Feng. He'd never met him nor did he have any contact at NUAA or know anyone at the university.

In preparation for his presentation, the GE engineer downloaded what's called export controlled material. That is, information that can't be taken out of

the country without a license.

During the trip he gave a presentation about the composite materials and the fan blade encasements at NUAA, but he did not share the export controlled material.

During the trip, the GE engineer met the Defendant at tea, at lunch, at dinner, and the Defendant when he met him used the alias Qu Hui -- that's the middle one on your screen -- and that is when he gave the engineer his business card -- the one I showed you earlier -- with that name, and the engineer saved it, and he provided it to the F.B.I.

That's how we have it on the screen.

Mr. Qu Hui, or the Defendant posing as Qu Hui, gave the employee approximately \$3,000 in cash after the presentation; and after the trip, the Defendant, still using that same alias, contacted the engineer. He thanked him, and he asked him to keep in touch.

A few months later, the F.B.I. confronted the GE engineer who took the trip, and he began to cooperate with the F.B.I.

Beginning in late 2017, at the F.B.I.'s direction, the engineer reconnected with the Defendant, whom he still only knew by the alias Qu Hui. And sure enough, the Defendant responded, and he encouraged the GE engineer to travel to China for another exchange that his agency would pay for.

When the GE engineer said he couldn't return to China, the Defendant proposed meeting in Europe, and the Defendant began tasking -- that is, asking -- the GE engineer for specific information, and that's the information that I mentioned at the beginning of my opening statement.

Between January and April of 2019, Mr. Xu Yanjun, the Defendant, sent the engineer messages over a Chinese social media platform called WeChat. He asked him to collect certain information. He told him to dump his files on an external hard drive. He told him to download more data before they met, and you'll see those messages.

The Defendant also sent the GE engineer E-Mails; and in those E-Mails, he sent the engineer a shopping list of what he wanted. He asked him -- that's when he asked him -- or over that platform is how he asked him to create the file directory. He sent him instructions on how to manipulate his computer to create a file directory of every single file on his computer and send it to him.

You'll see those E-Mails. And the Defendant also called the GE engineer about the meeting, and you'll see a transcript of that phone call.

As I told you a few minutes ago, when the Defendant showed up to meet the GE engineer and receive the contents of the company computer, he was arrested; and after he and

another MSS operative named Xu Heng were arrested, one of the phones that law enforcement recovered from them was wiped. That means it was deleted remotely after it was in the custody of law enforcement.

Mr. Olson will tell you that from an intelligence perspective, the Defendant was eager to meet with the GE engineer because he thought he had hooked him. He thought he had a new recruit for the MSS.

He will tell you that the clandestine communications, the secret meeting place, the Defendant's tasking of the engineer, the large amount of cash in those paper envelopes presumably for the engineer, the wiping of the phone afterward, are all hallmarks of trade craft and symbolic of the recruitment cycle that intelligence officers routinely use with human sources around the world.

Now, in addition to the Defendant's attempt to obtain trade secret information from GE Aviation, you'll hear about his interactions with another engineer. This time from Honeywell Aerospace; and from the events surrounding Honeywell, you'll understand how the Defendant and his co-conspirators plan to recruit and exploit this particular engineer in the future.

Arthur Gao was an engineer at Honeywell Aerospace. For simplicity, I'll refer to him as the Honeywell engineer. Honeywell is headquartered in the United States like GE

Aviation, and it manufactures aircraft engines and avionics.

The Honeywell engineer traveled to China for these exchanges. Several members of the MSS were involved in his recruitment, including the Defendant. During this process, the Honeywell engineer also received payments of money from MSS.

The Defendant and a co-conspirator persuaded the Honeywell engineer to travel to China again in October of 2017 for another exchange. To put this in context, this trip occurred several months after the GE Aviation engineer returned from China.

Some of the information the Honeywell engineer provided in his presentation to the Defendant was export controlled. The Honeywell engineer didn't have a license to export it and shouldn't have taken it out of the country. He acknowledged that this was wrong, and he's pled guilty. He's accepted responsibility for his conduct, and he will testify.

One of the important pieces of the evidence, though, with respect to Honeywell is what was going on behind the scenes in China when the Honeywell engineer was there but had left the room.

You see, during that trip, the Defendant recorded one of his meetings, and that recording was stored on his iPhone, which was backed up to his iCloud, and it was

recovered by the F.B.I. You'll see a transcript of that recording.

After the Honeywell's engineers' presentation when he left the room, the Defendant and his co-conspirators discussed the recruitment of him, and how best to use him in the future. They debate several options. Acknowledging that because security is tight at Honeywell, using him to provide information directly could be difficult.

The scientists at the meeting give the Defendant a list of information -- that shopping list -- that they wanted. After the meeting, the recording captures the Defendant calling another MSS agent.

During the call, the Defendant chides the other MSS member for using an alias with the Honeywell engineer that didn't make sense. You'll see a transcript of that call, and you'll see a transcript of the meeting.

Now, during trial, you'll hear evidence of other deceptive techniques and tactics used by the Defendant and his conspirators when engineers came for these exchanges.

Not only were the Defendant and his co-conspirators using these exchanges to spot, to assess, to recruit potential assets, but they were exploiting them.

Unbeknownst to these engineers, they were downloading and copying the devices in their rooms while the engineers were being entertained away from their rooms.

The Defendant's electronic calendar documented many of these meetings with engineers that were brought to China for the exchanges, and the Defendant kept specific notes about these exchanges, about who came over, where they were entertained, and when.

These notes are more like a diary than a calendar, but these notes include important details about these tactics; and these notes indicate that the MSS hacking or Internet Intrusion Division, the Eighth Division of the MSS, would commit these computer intrusions while engineers were away from their room.

The MSS also used a computer intrusion, or a hack, to acquire secret information from an aviation company, and the evidence will show the Defendant coordinated that attack.

During the trial, you will hear evidence about a computer intrusion involving the Safran Company. The Safran incident gives you a window into the Defendant's intent, the tactics and techniques behind the scenes. It also shows you how the Defendant used human sources the MSS had recruited to perpetrate a cyber attack on an aviation company.

Now, Safran is an international company that manufactures aircraft engines, rocket engines, and aerospace components. Safran is located in Paris, France; and it has a joint venture with GE Aviation.

2.4

In 2013 and 2014, a few years ago before the GE engineer's trip to China, the Defendant coordinated an Internet intrusion of Safran, which was accomplished by planting Malware, or a computer virus, on a Safran employee's computer. The hacking targeted data and information related to turbo fan engines used in commercial jetliners.

To infiltrate Safran, the Defendant used co-opted Safran employees that he had recruited to plant a computer virus on the laptop of a Safran employee who was visiting China.

The Defendant and the co-optees referred to the visiting employee as the Frenchman. The evidence will show that the Defendant also tried to conceal his activities related to the hacking afterward.

In fact, he ordered that evidence of the attack be destroyed. The Defendant's own communications provided chronology of the hacking into Safran and show his personal involvement.

For example, on November -- in November of 2013, the Defendant contacted one of the co-opted employees and asked when the Frenchman would arrive, and if they could meet -- make arrangements to meet him under the name of NUAA?

Later, the Defendant contacted the co-opted

employee and stated, I'll bring the horse to you tonight, referring to the Malware -- what's also known as a Trojan horse.

The Defendant asked, can you take the Frenchman out for dinner tonight? I'll pretend to bump into you at the restaurant and say hello.

Between November and December 2013, the Defendant contacted the co-opted employee several times and asked if the horse had been planted?

On January 25 of 2014, the employee told the Defendant that the horse has been planted this morning. That very next day, the Defendant directed the employee to destroy the horse, and the Defendant continued to manage the intrusion into the incident asking his agents who worked for Safran about updates with the company. On one occasion, the Defendant asked, do they think China is hacking them?

Besides seeing the Defendant's own communications that I just mentioned, you'll hear from the French employee himself whose laptop was compromised, and you'll hear from Adam James, who is a forensic analyst with the F.B.I., and he analyzed the Frenchman's computer.

James will tell you that forensic analysis of the laptop shows that on January 25, 2014, that same day that the co-opted employee told the Defendant the horse was planted, that a USB drive was plugged into the laptop, and

the laptop became infected with Malware.

During trial you'll hear also how after the attack on Safran, the Defendant continued his mission of obtaining trade secret information from global aviation companies, not only did he try to recruit engineers, but he went after IT employees at aviation companies.

For example, roughly one year after the Safran incident, Defendant Xu tried to recruit an IT manager from Boeing for an exchange involving Internet security. Boeing is a U.S. based aerospace company that manufactures aircrafts.

Significantly during the Defendant's communication with these IT employees -- which was recovered from one of the Defendant's E-Mail accounts -- the Defendant used the same alias that he had used with the GE Aviation engineer, Qu Hui from JAST. That was the same alias that was on a business card he had handed to the GE Aviation engineer in China.

Now, this is an overview of some of the evidence you'll hear during the next few weeks, but let me touch briefly on the charges.

At the end of the trial before you deliberate,

Judge Black will provide you detailed instructions on the

law, and you should follow those instructions. For now I

want to mention a few legal concepts to keep in mind as you

listen to the evidence.

You've heard me talk about the Defendant and some of the other MSS operatives, or government officials, that he worked with to steal trade secrets. While there will be some evidence of different people and their roles in the offenses of the trial, the evidence is going to focus on the Defendant because he is the one on trial.

However, when two or more people agree, and they work together to commit a crime, it's called a conspiracy; and here a Federal Grand Jury has charged the Defendant with two types of conspiracies. A Conspiracy to Commit Economic Espionage; and, two, a Conspiracy to Commit Trade Secret Theft.

The first Conspiracy to Commit Economic Espionage, essentially the United States has to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the Defendant knowingly agreed to steal or obtain through deception information that he believed was trade secret, and that he did so for the benefit of a foreign instrumentality or a foreign government. In the context of the conspiracy the crime is the agreement.

The second type of conspiracy is a Conspiracy to Commit Trade Secret Theft similar to economic espionage.

The primary difference is that the intent to benefit a foreign government is not a part of the second conspiracy.

A Federal Grand Jury has also charged the Defendant with Attempting to Commit Economic Espionage and Attempting to Steal Trade Secret Information from GE Aviation.

Attempts involve the intent to commit a crime, and then some action that counts as a substantial step toward achieving that goal or committing that crime.

Essentially the Defendant attempting to steal information he believed was trade secret and taking a step toward that goal.

Now, based on what I've just said, the Government's going to present a lot of different evidence in this case, and we will do our best to streamline and make this trial as efficient as possible.

During the trial, you're going to hear from three groups of witnesses. You're going to hear from opinion witnesses, like Dr. Mulvenon, Mr. Olson, and Rizwan Ramakwadala, who have developed specialized knowledge in a particular area, and they're going to share that knowledge with you.

You're also going to hear from people who interacted with and communicated with the Defendant, and you're going to hear from law enforcement personnel who were involved in the investigation.

In addition to the human witnesses, you're going to see the Defendant's own words through digital evidence, such

as documents, calendar entries, recordings, messages, and E-Mails. This is some of the most important evidence in the case.

Why? Because these are the Defendant's statements. They are his documents. They explain who he is, and they're a window into what he was thinking at the time he sent the message or made the document.

These statements come from several sources. They come from various E-Mail accounts that were used by the Defendant sometimes under his name or alias, and they also come from the contents of phones that were used by the Defendant, either because the F.B.I. received the actual phone when the Defendant was arrested in Belgium or because the -- because the F.B.I. received a digital copy of that phone because the Defendant had backed up that phone to an iCloud account; and when law enforcement obtained the iCloud account, there was the backup of that phone in the iCloud account.

You'll see at the end of this trial or by the end of this trial how he used these numerous accounts in conjunction with different aliases to communicate with engineers he was trying to recruit.

Now, fair warning here, there are a lot of different accounts, and they're tied to the various aliases or connected to each other, and we are going to spend a fair

amount of time on these accounts because we want you to be confident in the evidence and to understand how this evidence comes together to prove these were all used by the same person, the Defendant, in furtherance of his mission.

By the end of the trial, you'll see how this digital evidence proves the Defendant is an MSS officer, you'll understand what his mission was, and who he was working for.

In the end, the evidence will prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the Defendant committed all four of the crimes with which he is charged.

He conspired and attempted to commit economic espionage, and he conspired and attempted to commit trade secret theft. That's why at the end of the case, we will come before you and ask you to find him guilty on all four counts.

For now, I want to thank you in advance for your time and for your patience as we move through the evidence, and I ask you to do three things during this trial.

First, follow the Court's instructions.

Second, listen to the evidence closely; and if it helps you, take notes to remember the facts.

And, third, as you listen to the evidence, apply your common sense. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mrs. Glatfelter. The Jurors

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

want to take a break before the Defendant's lawyer presents his opening statement or are you okay to proceed? Raise your hand if you're okay to proceed? (All hands were raised by the Jurors.) THE COURT: Very well. We're ready to proceed. will take us a moment to switch out the lawyers and stuff. MR. KOHNEN: I'm ready, Your Honor. THE COURT: Do you have an estimate of how long you're going to be? MR. KOHNEN: I'm thinking just about the same amount of time as Ms. Glatfelter, maybe 45 minutes to an hour. THE COURT: And the Jury's okay with that? Nobody wants a break or stop for lunch? All right. Let's proceed. For the press, will you state your name? OPENING STATEMENT BY COUNSEL FOR THE DEFENDANT: MR. KOHNEN: May it please the Court, Your Honor, ladies and gentlemen of the Jury, colleagues, opponents, my name is, as you recall, is Ralph Kohnen. I'm an attorney representing Mr. Xu. Ladies and gentlemen, conventional wisdom and even Judge Black has indicated that opening statements are designed to kind of tell you what we believe the evidence will show.

It's a little bit ironic when you remember that

Defendants aren't required to show anything, but we will go through the evidence as we understand it hopefully in a fairly quick fashion and tell you what we think the evidence will show and what it will not show.

Let's start with what it will not show. It will not show that trade secrets -- as Judge Black will define them for you -- ever surfaced in this case at all. No trade secret information was revealed.

The evidence will not show that Mr. Xu, our client, intended to steal trade secrets and that he never specifically sought them.

The evidence will not show that Mr. Xu conspired with anyone to steal trade secrets or to steal them for anyone as the Government has alleged.

One of these co-conspirators allegedly is the People's Republic of China. I'm not sure how the evidence is going to show an individual conspiring with the Government of over 1.4 million people.

Let's talk about what the evidence will show. While, as I mentioned, we have no burden to prove anything, the evidence is going to show a setup.

Now, I know what you're thinking. I've heard that before. I've seen that on TV, but hear me out. Hear me out. Let's talk about this alleged attempted theft of trade secret information from GE Aviation because it's the main

part of the Government's case; and it's in my mind, where things should begin, and, frankly, where they should end.

I'll get to some of the details in a moment, but here's a high level summary to begin with.

GE Aviation noticed that one of their engineers, a fellow I'll call Zheng, had downloaded from their servers a large amount of confidential information.

GE Aviation called the F.B.I. The Engineer Zheng at that point was the obvious target of what became an F.B.I./GE Aviation joint investigation.

Between GE personnel and the F.B.I., they interviewed Zheng for more than five hours on November 1st of 2017. The F.B.I. took his phone, they towed his car away, and they told Zheng that his wife and his child could not return home for dinner that evening because agents were searching his house.

They let Zheng call his wife to tell her to stay away from the house, but he wasn't allowed to tell her why, and the agents insisted that he speak to her in English and that he use one of the agent's phones and use it on the speaker phone so that the agents could listen in.

The agents told Zheng eventually that he could leave, but they advised against it. Where would he go? His home is being searched. How would he get there? His car has been towed away. How would he call someone to pick him

up? They seized his phone.

The agents broke Zheng. They broke him. While he never, even to this day, has admitted disclosing GE's trade secrets, the agents convinced him he was in big trouble nonetheless.

So now the joint investigation is underway.

Investigators learned that Zheng traveled to China five months before on the date of June 1, 2017, and they learned that he lectured at a university in the city of Nanjing.

They found the materials that he brought with him to China on his computer, which they had seized from him. I think actually General Electric Aviation people seized it from him, but we'll see.

Among the materials on his computer was a 19-page PowerPoint presentation which was analyzed very carefully, but after a lot of hand wringing and three meetings at GE Aviation on successive days between the F.B.I., GE Aviation security, GE Aviation engineers, GE Aviation management, Department of Justice lawyers, including Mr. Mangan and Ms. Glatfelter, Agent Hull and other F.B.I. personnel, it turns out that Zheng was telling the truth. Zheng never had disclosed GE trade secrets. He didn't do so in the presentation he shared at the Chinese university to graduate students. These slides were on the PowerPoint. Excuse me a moment. Sorry about that.

So without a case or anything to go after Mr. Zheng on, so begins the hunt for another target. GE and the F.B.I. learned that three months before the trip, as Ms. Glatfelter alluded to, Zheng had been contacted by someone in China named Chen Feng. He was from the Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, NUAA, as you heard, and they learned that Zheng had actually -- the GE engineer had actually gone to China at this fellow Feng's request and gave his presentation to university students on a subject that involved technology and the technology used to manufacture aircraft engine parts.

However, as I said, the F.B.I. and GE learned that Zheng had not shared any GE trade secrets. He hadn't shared them with the Chinese, and the computer that he used for his presentation had not been compromised. So they turned their attention to Chen Feng and our client Mr. Xu.

The F.B.I. made Mr. Xu and Mr. Feng their new targets. They were the targets of the investigation because at that point they believed, well, they must have asked for trade secrets, but the evidence will show they had not.

So here's going backwards a moment. The chronology in a little more detail. I want you to see if you can -- if you sense a theme here.

Chen Feng reached out to Xu on March 2 of 2017, via E-Mail. One of the things he said in that E-Mail is, and I

quote, there is no restriction on the format of the exchange, and the details can be agreed upon later.

Mr. Zheng from GE is interested in what the format of the exchange would be. Format being his word as translated.

Chen Feng replies: It's diversified. It can be either lectures or internal discussions. Basically what Chen Feng says is, it's up to you. Up to you, the person who's responsible to protect his employer's trade secrets.

Chen Feng E-Mails Zheng again inquiring about:

Does he have any preliminary thinking on his exchange?

Zheng from GE replies: Could you please provide some general information about previous exchanges.

Chen Feng replies in part: The university would like to have you propose two or three specialized research topics and send the information to me. We will then give the feed -- give you feedback based on the scientific research needs at the university.

Chen Feng follows up on an E-Mail to Zheng the next day which includes this: There are no restrictions on the format of the talk.

Chen Feng then follows up again very soon after and says: In the meantime -- among other things -- in the meantime, you can propose -- you can also propose contents that you would like to do the exchange and in which you have

expertise.

Zheng explains, GE Zheng: I am required to sign a technical agreement with the company that I work for here.

I can only participate in a seminar or give a general presentation. Please inform me your specific requirements.

Chen Feng from NUAA replies: We fully respect your opinions and will only discuss general topics in the area of engine materials that will not violate your company's technical agreement requirements.

Zheng replies with the title of his presentation, which he gave on June 1st of 2017. The evidence will also show that the information covered in that presentation was the subject of his Ph.D. doctoral thesis at the University of Akron here in Ohio.

So at this point in the chronology, if you will, we have Chen Feng from NUAA discussing with Zheng his contemplated exchange. We have Zheng making it clear there are work things he cannot share, and Chen Feng saying essentially no problem.

Zheng gives the exchange on June 1st. Our client, Mr. Xu, does not attend the presentation, but he is introduced to Zheng later, or at some point during his trip there as Department Head Xu while Zheng's is in Nanjing.

Things get a little fuzzy from here on out, though, in the communication continuum because -- and not because

the messages are hard -- the translated messages are hard to follow, but it's because we don't know who all the people acting as Xu are.

We know from information we received quite recently from the Government that Xu's E-Mail address was used by more than one person -- potentially many more than one person -- and we know that this same E-Mail address was sending and receiving messages from locations that were many miles away from each other at essentially the same time. This obviously is evidence of people sharing an E-Mail address.

Nonetheless, the evidence continues. Mr. Zheng sends message to Department Head Xu. He suggests that he would like to do another -- this is on June 13, 12 days after his presentation in Nanjing. He suggests that he would like to do another presentation.

We have no idea who read this or if anyone did because there was no response. In fact, there was a delay of approximately five months between communication between Zheng and the alleged Mr. Xu.

During that time on November 1st, is when the F.B.I. confronted GE Zheng, and they interrogated him. The scenario I portrayed for you a few minutes ago.

The F.B.I. meets with Zheng. Ultimately 20 days later on November 21, 2017, Zheng agrees to cooperate, and

1 he agrees to let F.B.I. agents dictate and control -- those 2 are the words of one of the agents -- dictate and control 3 the WeChat E-Mail messages that Zheng sends going forward. So on that same day, the 21st day of November, 4 5 Agents Hull, Special Agent Hull and his colleague, Special 6 Agent Reigle, through Zheng in his WeChat function, message 7 Chen Feng and thank him for NUAA's hospitality. 8 Chen Feng responds: You're welcome. You're 9 welcome to come back and exchange and instruct again. 10 About three weeks later, Agents Hull and Reigle 11 through Mr. Zheng's WeChat message Chen Feng again. Explain 12 that he would like to travel to China. He says that the 13 visitation to NUAA was very impressive, and I wonder if 14 similar arrangements is feasible. That's the translation. 15 When I say he says at this point, obviously I mean they 16 say. 17 Again, Chen Feng responds, and he says that going 18 forward, Mr. Xu -- in the series Mr. Xu will handle 19 relationships with you. 20 Meanwhile, again, the agents through Zheng 's 21 WeChat function send a text or message to Chen Feng and ask: 22 Is there any particular material I need to prepare? 23 The response: You don't need to repair -- prepare 24 any lecture materials. Chen Feng apparently has lost a

25

little bit of interest.

Now, what happens is the agents begin to offer to share confidential GE technological information with this person or through this person who they believe to be Mr. Xu.

Example, on January 8 of 2018, Agents Hull and Reigle WeChat message Xu, and they say as if they were Zheng: Is there any material you want me to prepare?

Again, on the 11th of -- three days later on

January 11, they say: Regarding the material, please let me

know as soon as possible so I can do some research and try

to -- try my best to meet the need for the exchange.

Whoever was occupying the keyboard and pretending to be Mr. Xu at that point replies: As for now, there's nothing you need to prepare on my end. Offer and an example of acceptance.

Shortly after that on the 17th, again, they throw out the bait. I am still very willing to contribute -this is the agents pretending to be Zheng -- I am still very willing to contribute if any related departments have any needs in the near future. Right now in my situation is convenient for me to gather relevant information.

No response by January 23. So the agents, again, send a message to, to, to Xu. Says -- and this is a lie -- he thinks he may be laid off from GE Aviation, and he is, quote, trying his best to collect as much information as possible.

1 Whoever Xu is on that day, the 23rd of January, 2 Okay. Try your best to collect, and we can talk by 3 then. 4 This goes on and on and on. At one point, not long 5 after this, on or about the end of January of 2018, there's 6 a Zheng through the agents -- the agents through Zheng talks 7 about what kind of information could be collected in 8 response to a question. 9 He says: Domestically there is more focus with our 10 folks on what's known as the system code. 11 So the agents respond: Regarding the system code, 12 can you be more specific? 13 Xu replies: Systems specifications, design 14 process, et cetera. 15 Two days later the agents through Zheng -- I think 16 on this occasion his E-Mail account -- actually send a 17 confidential GE Aviation document; and as if they were Zheng 18 they ask: Is something like this the system code you 19 mentioned? 20 What it was that they sent was something entitled 21 the GE 9X Fan Containment Case Design Consensus Review. 22 They got no response from Xu. 23 There was an offer of access to Mr. Zheng's 24 computer directory. We don't know if it was really his 25 computer directory. We don't know if things that were put

in the computer directory -- it was a copy of the directory, you understand, not the contents, but just a copy of the directory. We don't know if things were added, if things were deleted, et cetera, because by that time the F.B.I. -- presumably through GE -- had Mr. Zheng's computer for months -- four months, three months, four months.

So here we are months leading up to Mr. Xu's arrest in Belgium, which took place on April 2019, and the question arises has this information been sought or has this information been authored?

Mr. Xu never specifically in his E-Mails asked

Zheng for any trade secret information -- certainly not any
trade secret information that belongs to GE Aviation.

Rather as time went on, the F.B.I. agents through Mr. Zheng,
as I said, got to the point where they were actually
offering to disclose trade secret information.

Now, the scenario I just laid out for you -- and I'm sorry it took so long -- is an example of an offer of trade secret information; but you're going to have to figure out how that equates to an attempt to steal trade secret information when it's already been offered and perhaps provided as with the fan blade containment system document.

Undeterred by the lackluster responses and the lack of actual requests, the F.B.I., as you'll hear in great detail, lured Mr. Xu to Belgium. They arrested him.

They had him extradited -- had him ordered extradited to the United States, and they flew him on a private F.B.I. jet non-stop from Brussels to Lunken Airport, just five or six miles that way (Indicating.) That was the first time Mr. Xu ever set foot on U.S. soil.

Ms. Glatfelter alleged to -- or mentioned other alleged recruiting efforts by Mr. Xu. Like Zheng, there were others that GE was in contact with, and we freely admit that he recruited people to come in and share technology.

I'll get to that in a minute.

But one of the people that Ms. Glatfelter meant was a gentleman named Arthur Gao from Honeywell. I should have listened more carefully, Ms. Glatfelter. I apologize. But there's another person who I believe they're going to have testify as well -- an IT manager from Boeing. They presumably are going to testify about the invitations that they also received from Mr. Xu for exchanges.

Neither Mr. Gao nor this other fellow -- nor anyone else that we're aware of -- are going to testify that trade secrets were ever shared.

Mr. Gao's case may be particularly interesting to you because like Mr. Zheng, he never admitted to revealing trade secrets. Although he did, as Ms. Glatfelter indicated, plead guilty to another offense. The fellow from Boeing admitted to nothing.

2.4

So what we have is a series of trials within a trial, and the prosecutors to their credit advised us some time ago that that's what this was going to be.

I personally didn't understand it very well at first, but now I do, because in somewhat, the Government is going to present are a series of examples where Mr. Xu recruited experts, including Gao, including others, and they're going to do that to try to convince you that he was seeking trade secrets.

But all of these cases will have the same basic flavor, the same basic theme, and none of them explicitly show the ask, the attempt.

As I mentioned during voir dire, there's a lot of circumstantial evidence in this case. It's offered here and not direct evidence.

The prosecution will also offer kind of a different kind of trial within a trial, and that's the Safran evidence that Ms. Glatfelter spoke about, and I'll speak about that a little more in a minute; but the evidence is not going to show any relationship to the hacking or computer intrusion of this French company and this French engineer, any relationship to Mr. Xu's recruiting and alleged cultivating of people who share information, which is the gravamen — the basis — of his indictment.

This case is also about global politics, and a

thing called The China Initiative. You'll gather from the evidence that the case is very much about global politics. It's about the U.S. versus China trade war, and a program that was started a few years ago by the Department of Justice called The China Initiative.

Here's how that came about roughly. The Chinese people through their leader, Xi Jinping, have been transparent and open about their ongoing efforts toward technological development, technological advancement.

Their goal, to collect information for technological advancement from outside their country for their use, and, yes, that includes bringing back Chinese nationals to China for the exchanges that Ms. Glatfelter referenced.

But the evidence is going to show you that exchanges have been around for many years. They are primarily educational and professional collaborations and sharing of research.

In fact, here's an interesting tidbit, the first
Chinese exchange students were welcomed to the United States
more than half a century ago. Exchanges or collaborations
or conferences like these take place all the time all over
the world and witnesses will testify about that.

China's goal -- getting back to that -- is so that they can build on and improve their products and their

processes. Now, this you'll hear makes U.S. multinational companies like GE Aviation who operate in China and others -- China and its manufacturers, like companies in this country, want to advance; but many American companies want to keep China right where it is.

These huge multinational companies are afraid that Chinese companies will grow and compete with them. Thus began DOJ's, the Department of Justice's, aggressively investigating people of Chinese descent who our government believe are sharing American ingenuity.

The F.B.I. and other agencies cast a wide investigative net, and they've caught up a lot of innocent people. Just like Mr. Xu.

And make no mistake, this case is definitely part of the Department of Justice's China Initiative. Officials at the highest level of the Department of Justice have confirmed that.

By the way, as an aside, despite this fear that the Department of Justice has about our technology going there, you'll hear evidence that China spends vastly more money — in fact, Ms. Glatfelter referenced this — vastly more money on technology development today than the United States does. Think about that.

So these multinational companies like General Electric Aviation, et cetera, they're doing a lot of

business in China, and they go there, you'll hear, primarily for three reasons.

One, cheap reliable dependable labor. Maybe you've heard the expression, the peasants coming from the farms.

Two, a less restrictive environmental environment.

Less restrictive environmental laws and regulations. That's pronounced lacks pollution controls.

Three, and very important and increasingly important every day, to exploit. That is, get their foot in the door of the fastest growing economy in the world.

China has become an economic superpower. It is a people with a huge new appetite for goods and services, and companies like GE Aviation, Honeywell, whoever else you'll hear about, they want a piece of the action; but they don't want to share their technology.

They just want to come in and exploit China and its environment and its people so they can make their products less expensively and keep China in its place.

Let me give you an example -- just an anecdotal example of the growth of the Chinese economy. In 2020, just last year, General Motors sold more cars in China than they did in the United States. That's a growing market.

And another example of how to stay there: GE
Aviation -- the same company that's the alleged victim,
primary victim, I suppose, in this case -- now actually

makes engines that power the commercial aviation corporation of China's C919. That's a large commercial jet airliner.

They actually make the engine that goes on this big airliner. This COMAC. You'll remember from the screen.

One of these nefarious companies.

GE Aviation wants its share of China's aviation market. Aviation experts you'll hear tell, say that over, over the next 20 years, 39 percent of the demand for large jets will come from Asia.

China's fleet of large jets will grow by three times. These are very, very expensive jets, and so General Electric and the other multinational corporations operating in China, they want to keep making and selling planes and engines and things like that China needs.

China, as Xi said, their leader, wants to break free, and they want to catch up and technologically they are catching up.

In fact, you'll hear testimony during this trial that China was already well on its way to developing these poly composite jet engine containment units that have the technology that the Government claims was a trade secret and that Mr. Xu was allegedly trying to steal from GE. Imagine that.

Also, General Electric has joint ventures in China itself. They have a joint venture through an entity known

as AVIC -- another of the nefarious companies you saw on that list -- the Aviation Corporation of China, where together they build in China avionic systems for these aircraft.

You'll hear about other joint ventures that GE has with China and its government companies. These institutions I'm going to name -- most Mr. Glatfelter already named -- COMAC, Commercial Aircraft Corporation of China; AVIC, Aviation Industry Corporation of China; JAST, Jiangsu Association for Science and Technology; and, of course, we've all heard now about Jiangsu University of Aeronautics and Astronomics. That's the university that neither Ms. Glatfelter nor I can pronounce very well.

Interesting fact about NUAA, they actually have 200,000 members of their university in the U.S. These, ladies and gentlemen, are legitimate thriving, respected corporations and institutions that just happen to be controlled by the Chinese Government as all things in China are; but China does, as Ms. Glatfelter mentioned, have a vastly different culture.

As in all countries, when in China, you must obey
Chinese laws, respect for their laws and respect for their
customs -- which is frankly more important in that
society -- and you must play by their rules.

This applies to corporations as well as people. As

one of the Government's witnesses had said, in China, you have to pay-to-play. What he meant by that is American companies, including American multinational aviation companies, must be prepared to share at least some of their technology if they're going to be present in China. At the end of the day, that's all Chen Feng, this Mr. Xu, Mr. Xu and Mr. Xu sought.

China -- you'll learn -- is a very, very different culture. China is a surveillance state. They have no concept of privacy, individual or commercial, like we do; and they don't share the concept of free speech.

Chinese people have been monitored electronically for decades, and they expect to be monitored wherever they go. Chinese citizens are monitored from birth to death, and their government is omnipresent.

They have a different concept of private property. If you have something, it should be shared with the collective. That's communism. And the use of aliases in China is common as well. Who they are depends on who they're communicating with. It's also common as we have now heard for several people to access the same E-Mail address.

Think about that American company whose website you visit who has the friendly young face at the bottom right pop up and say, hi. I'm Jane. How can I help you today?

You really think that's Jane? It's probably some kid in his basement in his PJs, but that's a different story.

Well, my point is this, folks, these are all things that you will hear about and that you must consider in deciding whether Mr. Xu -- what he did intentionally or not -- or what he intentionally did do or intentionally did not do -- let me start over. What he intentionally did or what he didn't do. Thank you.

You have to understand him, and you have to understand his culture in order to understand his intentions, and we will offer you -- offer evidence to help you understand that.

He is a product of his culture. He is very, very different from us in many ways. Just as his country is very different from our country, his culture is very different from China's culture -- from our culture. Sorry.

During the case, the Government is going to scribe, ascribe some -- they've already started -- some sinister and nefarious meanings like intelligence or Intel, espionage, spy craft, trade craft, aliases, cover names, code names, language in code, et cetera, and they've already called Mr. Xu a spy.

Be careful of those words because you are to look objectively at the evidence. What something is called is not relevant unless it is what it is.

One of the allegedly dark and sinister organizations that the Government's, Government witnesses are going to discuss is this Ministry of State Security that Ms. Glatfelter mentioned.

We will make sure that the MSS and Mr. Xu's work are well described for you. We'll have an expert available to help you understand that, if necessary.

But regarding the MSS, Ms. Glatfelter sort of had it half right or half complete. One of her agents, Mr. Reigle, has done a better job in describing the evidence as something of a combination really of the American F.B.I. and the American C.I.A. In other words, domestic security as well as international activity.

Like those -- like the F.B.I., it's domestic security. Like the C.I.A., foreign intelligence and counterespionage; but what you should know by now -- and if you don't, you'll learn from the evidence -- like the F.B.I. and the C.I.A., the vast majority of the information which the MSS gathers is not secret information at all.

It's public source information which analysts can evaluate, synthesize with other publicly available information to form this intelligence to help them find answers to the questions they're seeking answers to.

Mr. Xu has had -- at least before he came here -- a regular job with a company named Nanjing Loute Technology

Information Center. The Government might dispute this, but this is a government that's been around for 20 years, and it focuses on aircraft simulation equipment and software.

Now, Mr. Xu also worked for the MSS, that's true, as all people must do if asked. It's part of his duty of service to the Motherland, and he was finding sources of information which might be valuable to his country's schools and businesses; and he was inviting people to come to China and share information -- exchanges like I spoke about before.

Interestingly, GE Aviation, itself is a partner in one of these exchange since 2013 with AVIC. That's right. The aviation industry — the evil Aviation Industry Corporation of China. GE is together with them on an exchange program called the Global Civilian Aviation Talent Program.

Ironically, it was developed to -- it was designed to, quote, develop future engineering leaders, close quote, in China aviation industry.

Folks, the evidence in this case will show that Mr. Xu was anything but a spy. You'll learn very quickly that virtually all of the evidence the prosecutors are offering against him is what's known as open communication.

Government agents often call this open channel communication, and open channels are not used by spies doing

espionage. Would a spy communicate about stealing trade secrets via WeChat and E-Mail? Would his fellow spies use LinkedIn and Facebook?

You'll hear that the Government agents investigating this case easily found and gathered literally all of the evidence that you'll hear and see in this trial because it wasn't hidden.

Ladies and gentlemen, this is not evidence of spy craft or trade craft. It's evidence of a man doing his job out in the open.

You'll see and hear testimony that confirms that Mr. Xu is not a spy as well. One thing that comes to mind is when he didn't show any interest or didn't -- agreed that if something was prohibited by the guy's company, don't bring it.

The witness, James Mulvenon, I think was mentioned. He's interesting because if he's true to what he said in the past, he's going to testify about non-traditional technology transfers. That is, gathering non-trade secret material that companies nonetheless don't want to let go.

That's what Mr. Xu was involved in, and that's Mr. -- that will be Mr. Mulvenon's opinion. And really that's the evidence. That's what the evidence will show GE Aviation's complaining about through the F.B.I. and now through these prosecutors in the courtroom. Xu was trying

to collect non-trade secret information that GE Aviation didn't want out there at all.

You'll learn through the cross-examination I'm sure of a number of different witnesses in this trial that GE

Aviation doesn't want anything to be shared by anyone other than them about certain products, so what they've done is they've convinced the F.B.I. that some guy has either stolen or is trying to steal their trade secrets when trade secrets weren't involved at all.

As Judge Black will instruct you, a trade secret must be not generally known and not readily ascertainable through proper means.

There were no trade secrets involved in this case and none were sought, but the Government's not going to let it go at that.

Think about it. Everybody thought that Mr. Zheng was dirty, and he wasn't. So you're going to hear more evidence. There's going to be evidence that, if you're not careful, is going to succeed in convincing you that Mr. Xu is a bad guy. Please keep an open mind about that.

You're going to hear stuff like, he's a spy who works for MSS. He's Chinese, and there's a trade war going on with China, and he works for the Government so he must be rotten. The Chinese are co-opting our technology through talent programs and exchanges and the like, and that's bad.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Finally, there's the Safran evidence that Mrs. Glatfelter spoke about. Through this evidence, as I mentioned before, you will see that the Safran matter is not in any way connected to the complaints about Mr. Xu and his recruiting and human intelligence work. In fact, it's not mentioned anywhere in his indictment. In fact, the Safran case was charged in Federal Court --MS. GLATFELTER: Your Honor, objection. MR. KOHNEN: -- in United States --THE COURT: Excuse me. There's an objection. I'll see the lawyers at sidebar. See how that goes. On occasion I have to chat with the lawyers outside your hearing, and I would just as soon have one lawyer approach from each side with the court reporter and my law clerk, and we will wait for the court reporter to get over there. (SIDEBAR CONFERENCE HELD.) THE COURT: I know you wouldn't object unless you had to. MS. GLATFELTER: I apologize to Mr. Kohnen because I hate objecting during opening, but this was a subject and matters that have been decided by the Court. I -- you know, you can't unring the bell; and acting like some -- there's something wrong with the indictment or that we have to answer an indictment from

1 another, another jurisdiction is an improper matter for this 2 Jury, and so Mr. Kohnen knows that we can't respond to that, 3 and now this is out there before the Jury. It's unfair. 4 THE COURT: Your position? 5 MR. KOHNEN: Judge, your ruling on the 404(b) 6 Motion was that that evidence can be admitted. We accept 7 that, and so now we want to talk about that evidence, and we 8 want to talk about the fact that in our view, it's not 9 germane to the charge that has been -- the charges that have 10 been brought against our client. 11 THE COURT: So what are you proposing to say? 12 MR. KOHNEN: I'm going to say that he's not a 13 Defendant in the Safran case, which is a matter of public 14 record. 15 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Just asking. 16 MR. KOHNEN: And I'm sorry. And I'm going to talk 17 about the charges, how they're separate, and I'm going to 18 talk about how he was indicted, I think, four or six years 19 ago -- I have to look at my notes -- and it has no 20 connection to the charges here. 21 Now, that's a matter of opinion, but it's going to 22 come out in the evidence, I believe. 23 MS. GLATFELTER: And I understand it's a matter of 24 public record, but that's not the standard for admission. 25 It has to be relevant, and I think there's a 403 prejudice

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

matter here because the impression left with the Jury is that someone can't be considered liable for a crime -there's no such thing, in other words, as an unindicted co-conspirator, so essentially what Mr. Xu is in that indictment, he's mentioned, but he's not mentioned as a Defendant. He's a Defendant here, and so what Mr. Kohnen is doing is sort of a bait and switch alleging that he can't possibly be involved in Safran because he hasn't been indicted by a Grand Jury there. That's an unfair inference and something that's not proper before the Jury. I don't know how you instruct the Jury. I mean, they can't consider the evidence of an indictment from another jurisdiction involving other people. THE COURT: Right. I'm going to chat with my law clerk outside your presence. MR. MANGAN: Thank you. (Discussion held between Judge Black and Ms. Frankian.) THE COURT: May I see the lawyers, please? My response is, is you can talk about it, but if you mislead the Jury by suggesting something that's not appropriate, like, if he were guilty, he would have been charged as a Defendant in that case, I'm going to correct you in front of the Jury. MR. KOHNEN: Judge --

1 THE COURT: So I think you need to move along 2 expeditiously and not mislead the Jury. I'm sorry. That's 3 my resolution. MR. KOHNEN: Judge, just so you know, I'm with you 4 5 on that, and I agree. It occurred to me after we left 6 sidebar that the Government has a witness that's going to 7 testify -- my understanding is an F.B.I. agent -- that is 8 going to testify about all this. 9 THE COURT: I've ruled. 10 MR. KOHNEN: I know. 11 THE COURT: It's out there. I think you need to 12 move along, and if you mislead the Jury by implying 13 something that the evidence does not reflect, I'm going to 14 correct you in front of the Jury. You may proceed. 15 (SIDEBAR CONFERENCE CONCLUDED.) 16 THE COURT: There are circumstances when I have to 17 talk to the lawyers outside your presence. I find it rude 18 and apologize. They know I don't like it. There are times 19 it has to happen, and it had to happened here. I appreciate 20 your understanding. You may proceed, Mr. Kohnen. 21 MR. KOHNEN: Thank you, Your Honor. Ladies and 22 gentlemen of the Jury, I apologize for the continuance too. 23 I'll try to get back on track as quick as I can. 24 So here's the thing, you're going to hear evidence 25 about the Safran case. That's for sure. That was a case

that was charged in the United States District Court in the Southern District of California six years ago. Mr. Xu is not a Defendant in that case.

There were totally different charges brought in that indictment than you're dealing with today. That was a computer hacking case. It wasn't a theft of trade secrets. It wasn't an attempted theft of trade secrets. It wasn't an alleged conspiracy to steal trade secrets.

Now, general -- interestingly, GE Aviation does have a joint venture with a French company known as Safran that operates in China, but the Safran charges make no mention of that even. It involves a French victim. An alleged offense perpetrated from China involving computer servers in Northern California. There is no discussion of the Southern District of Ohio, and there's no discussion of co-opted employees.

Ladies and gentlemen, one thing that Mrs.

Glatfelter said that I completely agree with, and I hear

Judge Black say it all the time as well, and that is use

your common sense. Don't abandon your common sense because

after all the evidence is in, the evidence in this case is

going to show that this was a setup. That our client is an

unfortunate pawn in a trade war between the world's two

economic superpowers as a result of an investigation

initiated by one of the world's most powerful multinational

1 corporations from Cincinnati. 2 It was a setup, and the Government will be unable 3 to prove its case beyond a reasonable doubt. They will be 4 unable to prove that our client intended to commit the 5 crimes he's been charged with, and they will fail in meeting the elements of the offense, and thus their burden 6 7 of proof. I, again, also want to thank you for your service. 8 9 Thank you for your time. Thank you for your patience, and 10 thank you for the work we all have ahead of us. 11 Your Honor, that concludes my remarks. 12 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Kohnen. 13 Ms. Frankian, will you approach, please? 14 (The Court confers with Ms. Frankian.) 15 THE COURT: I just want to the confirm with my 16 lawyer that it is lunchtime. You've done a good job 17 listening to opening statements. That's a credit to you. 18 After lunch, we'll start to present evidence. During the 19 lunch break -- we'll break from 12:30 -- quarter of two; is 20 that right? 21 MS. FRANKIAN: Yes, Judge. 22 THE COURT: Yes? I'm trying to break for an hour 23 and 15 minutes. During the break -- I think lunch is being 24 provided. During the break, same instructions. We have 25 fancy -- during the lunch break, take a break. Don't

1 discuss the case among yourselves. Don't discuss the case 2 with anyone. 3 No forms of communication, including phone, E-Mail, text, Facebook, Twitter, et cetera, about the case. If 4 5 anybody violates any of that or causing you angst, let me 6 know. 7 No independent research about the trial. Don't 8 listen to or watch any news reports. Can't check Google, 9 Facebook, Twitter to find information about any aspect of 10 the case. 11 And, finally, remember, it's especially important 12 that you keep an open mind. You haven't heard a lick of 13 evidence yet. Don't form or express an opinion on the case 14 until it's finally submitted to you after all the evidence 15 is in. 16 I want you to enjoy your lunch break, and out of 17 respect for you, we'll rise as you leave, and you are to go 18 to the ninth floor. 19 COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise for the Jury. 20 THE COURT: You can lead them out, sir. 21 (The Jury exited the courtroom.) 22 THE COURT: The Jury has left the courtroom. The 23 door is closing with some assistance. Are you ready to 24 break for lunch? If you need to suggest something to me 25 about a corrective instruction, if any, you can do that

```
1
      before they come back, Ms. Glatfelter.
 2
                MS. GLATFELTER: Thank you, Your Honor.
 3
                THE COURT: Are there other matters I need to touch
 4
      base with you on before we go have lunch and take a break
 5
      from the Government's perspective?
 6
                MR. MANGAN: No, Your Honor.
 7
                THE COURT: Defense?
 8
                MR. KOHNEN: No, thank you. Judge.
 9
                THE COURT: Okay. No talking about the case --
10
      strike that. Have a good lunch. We're in recess.
11
                COURTROOM DEPUTY: The court is now in recess.
12
       (Court was in recess at 12:25 p.m. and resumed at 1:54 p.m.)
13
                COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise. This court is back in
14
      session pursuant to the recess.
15
                THE COURT: Please be seated. We're back in the
16
      courtroom on the record. Defense team is present, including
17
      the Defendant. Government's team is present.
18
                Is the Government ready to proceed?
19
                MR. McKENZIE: Yes, Your Honor. At this time the
20
      Government calls Dr. James Mulvenon. Well, if we can get
21
      him in before the Jury.
22
                THE COURT: Indeed. Is the Defense ready to
23
      proceed?
24
               MS. CORS: Yes, Your Honor.
25
                THE COURT: Where is your witness?
```

JAMES MULVENON, Ph.D. -- DIRECT

1	MR. McKENZIE: In the hall, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Let's bring the witness in while we
3	call for the Jury. If you would call for the Jury, please.
4	It will take a few minutes. Why don't we put the gentleman
5	behind Ms. Glatfelter for the time being.
6	COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise for the Jury.
7	(The Jury entered the courtroom.)
8	THE COURT: You may all be seated. The 15 members
9	of the Jury have rejoined us in the courtroom. I hope you
10	had a decent break, and I apologize for the delay.
11	The Government's going to begin to present
12	evidence. Who does the Government call at this time?
13	MR. McKENZIE: Your Honor, the Government calls Dr.
14	James Mulvenon.
15	THE COURT: If that gentleman would approach,
16	attend to the witness stand, and if counsel would state his
17	name for the record, please?
18	MR. McKENZIE: Counsel's name is Matthew McKenzie.
19	THE COURT: Mr. McKenzie. Would you pause and
20	raise your right hand for the oath to tell the truth?
21	JAMES MULVENON, Ph.D., GOVERNMENT'S WITNESS, SWORN
22	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
23	THE COURT: Very well. You may be seated. And I'm
24	ultimately going to want you close to that expensive Federal
25	microphone.

1 THE WITNESS: I'll get this little television out 2 of my way. 3 THE COURT: You may proceed, Counsel. MR. McKENZIE: Your Honor, just for clarification, 4 5 may I remove my mask? 6 THE COURT: Yeah. The lawyers at the podium may 7 remove their masks. The Jury signed off on that. Go ahead. 8 DIRECT EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. McKENZIE: 10 Dr. Mulvenon, by whom are you employed? 11 I'm currently employed by a company called SOS 12 International. 13 Please explain to the Jury the mission of SOS 14 International? 15 THE COURT: And before you do, would you state your name for the record, please? 16 17 THE WITNESS: My name? 18 THE COURT: Would you state your name for the 19 record, please? 20 THE WITNESS: Yes, my name is James Mulvenon. 21 THE COURT: You may continue, counsel. 22 BY MR. McKENZIE: 23 What is the mission of SOS International? Q 24 SOS International is a defense industrial company 25 based in Reston, Virginia that primarily performs contracts

1 for the Department of Defense. 2 What is your title? 0 3 I'm the Director of Intelligence Integration. Α Please explain to the Jury some of your duties and 4 0 5 responsibilities in that role? So I'm primarily responsible for our relationships 6 7 with a variety of offices throughout the U.S. Government in 8 the Department of Defense, in the intelligence community, 9 and in Federal Law Enforcement, and I'm also responsible for 10 integrating the various intelligence-related capabilities 11 within the company itself across different business 12 divisions. 13 To be clear, are you yourself employed by the Federal 14 Government? 15 Α I am not. I've never been employed by the Federal 16 Government. 17 Now, with respect to, to China, what, if any, duties 18 and responsibilities do you have with respect toward 19 intelligence -- gathering intelligence toward China? 20 So because I'm a Chinese linguist and have spent my 21 career studying in China, it's not surprising that we have a 22 very large China-related business of which I'm the lead for 23 all of that in the company. And what type of intelligence do you gather? 24 25 So we, we are exclusively focused on what's called Α

1 open source intelligence or publicly available information. 2 So this is not classified collection like you would think of 3 from an intelligence agency, but we collect whatever the Chinese Government publishes, posts on-line, magazines, 4 5 newspapers, books, websites, and things like that that are 6 all publicly available. 7 I'd like to pivot and discuss your educational and 8 work history starting with your education. Directing your 9 attention to in or about 1991, did there come a time that 10 you earned a Bachelor's degree? 11 I did. I earned a Bachelor's degree in China studies 12 from the University of Michigan in Ann Arbor. 13 What did the -- what did China studies entail at the 14 University of Michigan? 15 Α Well, the core requirement was language study. So I 16 took three years of Chinese, but it was an inner disciplinary major which meant that you could then take 17 18 China-related classes across many different departments as I 19 So I've primarily focused on political science, but 20 also anthropology, history, literature, things along those 21 lines. 22 While you were earning your undergraduate degree, were you introduced to the Chinese Government, how it functions, 23 24 and how it makes decisions? 25 Yes. Two of my professors in political science, Ken Α

1 Lieberthal and Mike Oxenberg, were at the time the leading 2 scholars in the United States on how the Chinese Government 3 is structured and how it functions. 4 After earning your degree at the University of 5 Michigan, did you have the opportunity to study abroad in China? 6 I did. I studied at Fudan University, which is in 7 Α 8 Shanghai in China. 9 What did you study? 10 I studied Communist Party History in the Communist 11 Party History Department for about a year and a half. 12 In what language were those courses taught? Q 13 They were taught entirely in Chinese. 14 Do you still speak Chinese? Q 15 Α I do. Obviously as a manager, I spend more time 16 making sure that new millennials are doing their jobs, but I 17 do continue to do my own personal research exploiting 18 Chinese language materials. 19 Now, directing your attention to in or about 1994, did 20 there come a time that you sought and obtained a Master's 21 degree? 22 Α After I came back from China, I entered the Master's 23 and Ph.D. program at the University of California Los 24 Angeles, UCLA; and in 1995, I believe, I earned my Master's 25 in political science.

1 And after earning your Master's degree, did you go on Q 2 to complete the doctoral program you spoke about? 3 I did. I completed my doctorate in August 1998. Α 4 What was the subject of your Ph.D.? It was on the Chinese Military's International 5 6 Business Empire, and I entitled it Soldiers of Fortunate. 7 Q I'm sorry. When I said Ph.D., I meant dissertation. 8 What is -- what is a dissertation? 9 So a dissertation is the final long thesis that you 10 have to write in order to earn a Ph.D. degree in political 11 science, and the subject of that dissertation was the 12 Chinese Military's International Business Empire. 13 Did you later publish that dissertation in the form of 14 a book? 15 Α I did. 16 Please share with the Jury some of the key takeaways 17 conclusions from that dissertation? 18 Well, it was, it was -- you know, the fortunate thing Α 19 for me was the year that I finished the dissertation was the 20 year that the Chinese Government decided to pull the Chinese 21 military out of doing commercial business, so one of the 22 nice things was I was able to put an end date on it. 23 I was able to say they were in business from 1927 to 24 1998 and then it ended, which meant that by the time I got 25 the book out, if things had changed, you know, that

1 obviously would have made the book irrelevant. 2 But I mainly talked about how the Chinese military's 3 privileged access to real estate and infrastructure and 4 ports and rail and everything else, which was normally for 5 military purposes, they then were able to commercialize all 6 of that in the early days of the Chinese economic 7 modernization and become a very powerful economic actor but 8 also a very corrupt actor in the system. 9 Now, I'd like to walk through your work history. 10 Directing your attention to in or around 1995, did there 11 come a time that you were employed by Rand Corporation? 12 Yes, in 1995, while I was still in graduate school, I 13 joined the Rand Corporation there in Los Angeles. It was 14 based in Santa Monica on the ocean and was able to work 15 there as a research assistant while I was finishing my Ph.D. 16 Please explain to the Jury what the Rand Corporation Q 17 is? 18 The Rand Corporation is a national security think tank Α 19 that was very famous during the Cold War for coming up with 20 many of the new ideas that we had, for instance, about 21 nuclear warfare. 22 And explain to the Jury your duties and 23 responsibilities while you were at Rand? 24 I was a research assistant to the main China experts 25 who worked there, so I gathered their Chinese materials for

1 them. I did translation and exploitation of Chinese 2 language materials, and then I was also able to publish my 3 own reports as well that I did -- that I did myself. Did you remain a research assistant the entire time 4 you were at Rand or did you then gain additional positions 5 6 and move up the ladder, so to speak? 7 Α I did. One of the reasons I finished my Ph.D. was 8 because in order to be hired as full-time staff at Rand 9 then, you had to have a Ph.D.; so in 1998, when I finished 10 my Ph.D., they then hired me on as full-time research staff. And once you were a full-time member of the staff, 11 12 what were your duties and responsibilities? 13 I ran research programs. I performed contracts for 14 U.S. Government sponsors, producing public reports that were 15 then available via Rand's website. 16 Did that all focus on China or predominantly focus on China? 17 18 Predominantly focused on China, Chinese defense A 19 industries, Chinese Internet censorship, things along those 20 lines. 21 Directing your attention now to in or about 2004, did 22 there come a time that you started a new position with 23 Defense Group Incorporated? 24 I did. In 20 -- October 2004, Defense Group 25 Incorporated asked me to start an intelligence organization

1 within their company that we later called The Center 2 Intelligence Research Analysis, so I left Rand and assumed that new position. 3 4 What is Defense Group Incorporated? Defense Group Incorporated is also a defense industry 5 6 company founded by a former Reagan era senior Pentagon 7 official Dr. Jim Wade, and it primarily focused on nuclear 8 weapons and nuclear weapons effects. 9 And what were your duties and responsibilities with 10 the intelligence center within Defense Group Incorporated? Well, I was the Vice President in charge of that 11 Α 12 entire organization, and so everything from raising the 13 money on via contracts, interacting with government 14 customers, managing the research staff, performing quality 15 assurance on the publications. 16 Directing your attention to in or about 2007, did 17 there come a time that you began a new position within DGI 18 or were promoted? 19 Yes. I became Senior Vice President for the Α 20 Intelligence Division. And did you hold this position until you started your 21 22 current, current job at SOS? 23 Α Right. So in, in March 2017, Dr. Wade, the owner of 24 Defense Group, passed away, and the board sold the company 25 to the company I currently work for, SOS International, so

1 for all intents and purposes, my job has not changed. 2 in the same office. I'm with the same people. It's just 3 that we now have a different company name because of the 4 acquisition. 5 In addition to your dissertation, have you published 6 any, any books on China? 7 Α Yes. 8 Well, what are the titles? 9 So in 2013, with two senior officials from the U.S. 10 Government, I published a book entitled Chinese Industrial 11 Espionage. 12 And what was your involvement in drafting that book? 13 I wrote about 40 percent of it. I wrote the chapters 14 on cyber espionage. I wrote the chapter on traditional 15 espionage involving Ministry of State Security intelligence 16 officers. 17 And what was the major conclusion of that book? 18 The major thrust of that book was to really understand Α 19 this phenomena of non-traditional collectors. These were 20 the scientists and researchers and corporate personnel and 21 others that formed this new kind of collection in the United 22 States that was not like traditional intelligence operations 23 where you had a case officer managing agents and collecting 24 data; and we documented at a very high level of detail the 25 massive bureaucratic infrastructure within China that was

25

1 set up to collect and process all of the information that 2 they were gathering in the United States. 3 In addition to your dissertation and the book that 4 you've just testified about, do you have any additional 5 publications, such as articles? Yes. Most relevant probably for this situation there 6 7 was a follow-on book in 2018, entitled China's Quest For 8 Foreign Technology Beyond Espionage, in which we documented 9 all of the new forms of Chinese science and technology 10 collection in the United States that had emerged since the 11 2013 book. 12 Have you ever testified before Congress? Q 13 I have. 14 Which committees have you testified before? Q 15 Α Well, there's a Congressionally mandated -- there are 16 two Congressionally mandated commissions on China. 17 Congressional Executive Commission on China and the U.S. 18 China Economic and Security Review Commission, and I've 19 testified before both of those probably ten times; but I've 20 also testified in the -- on the Senate side in front of 21 Senate Foreign Relations, Senate Banking, and then on the 22 House side in front of the House Foreign Affairs Committee. 23 And when you were testifying before those committees 24 in the Senate and the House, what was the general topic on

which you were presenting testimony?

1 It was always China, and it generally involved illegal Α 2 tech transfer activities, Chinese Government investment in 3 the United States, Chinese export -- U.S. export control issues with respect to China, so that tended to be the nexus 4 5 of what I was talking about. Have you ever testified in Federal Court? 6 Q 7 Α I have, twice. 8 Q And each time you testified, were you qualified to 9 provide opinion testimony? 10 I was. Α 11 Now, during the course of your studies, your 12 professional career, and your publications, had you become 13 familiar with the structure and decision making process of 14 the Chinese Government? 15 Α I have. 16 MR. McKENZIE: Your Honor, may we please show the 17 witness and Counsel what has been marked as Government's 10 18 for identification? 19 THE COURT: Yes. 20 (Government's Exhibit 10 was marked for identification.) 21 (Government's Exhibit 10 was shown on the screen.) 22 BY MR. McKENZIE: 23 Dr. Mulvenon, I'm showing you what's been previously 24 marked as Government's Exhibit 10 for identification. Do 25 you recognize this?

```
1
                THE WITNESS: I've got nothing here, Your Honor
2
       (Indicating.)
 3
                THE COURT: All right.
                THE WITNESS: I can see it (Indicating) --
 4
 5
                THE COURT: Give us a moment, and I actually want
 6
       to see it before the Jury does, if that's still possible.
7
                THE WITNESS: No, that's fine. I can see it on the
 8
       clerk's too. Oh, here it is.
 9
                THE COURT: Just a moment. Somebody learned will
10
       come fix this.
11
                THE WITNESS: It's fixed.
12
                THE COURT: Very well.
13
                THE WITNESS: Yes, I can see it.
14
       BY MR. McKENZIE:
15
       Q
             What is this?
16
             This is a simplified chart of the structure of the
17
       Chinese Government.
18
             Did you help create this chart?
             I did.
19
       Α
20
             Will this exhibit help the Jury understand your
21
       testimony?
22
       Α
             It will, in order to understand some of the
23
       complicated relationships between different organizations
24
       that you're going to refer to, yes.
25
                MR. McKENZIE: Your Honor, at this time I'd move to
```

```
1
       admit Government's Exhibit 10 into evidence.
 2
                THE COURT: Any objection?
 3
                MS. CORS: No, Your Honor.
 4
                THE COURT: It's admitted. You can publish it to
 5
       the Jury.
 6
       (Government's Exhibit 10 admitted.)
7
       (Government's Exhibit 10 was shown on the screen for Jury.)
 8
       BY MR. McKENZIE:
 9
             Dr. Mulvenon, turning your attention to the top right
10
       corner of the exhibit. What do the boxes in red signify?
11
             The boxes in red are Communist -- Chinese Communist
       Α
12
       Party organizations.
13
             What is the Chinese Communist Party?
14
             So the Chinese Communist Party is currently the single
15
       party that rules China. It is the head of their
16
       authoritarian government, and it is very similar in
17
       structure and intent to other Communist Parties that you may
18
       have heard of, like, the Communist Party that headed up the
19
       Soviet Union during the Cold War.
20
             You said that there is -- it was the single party.
21
       Are there other parties in China?
22
       Α
             There are some very small minor parties that the
23
       Communist Party has kept around for window dressing, but
24
       there are no other political institutions in China that
25
       challenge the single party rule of the Chinese Communist
```

1 Party. It alone rules China. 2 THE COURT: Counsel, just a moment. Jurors, those screens pull out and tilt if I want to do that. If you 3 break it -- all right. Looks like that may have helped. 4 5 Please proceed, Counsel. 6 BY MR. McKENZIE: 7 Is every single Chinese citizen a member of the 8 Chinese Party? 9 No. There are a lot of party members, though. Almost 10 100 million out of a population of approximately 11 1.4 billion, so something, like, six or seven percent of the 12 population are Party members. 13 Are you familiar with the term Cadre as it relates to 14 the Chinese Communist Party? 15 Α Absolutely. It's a very important term in Chinese. 16 It's Ganbu, and it generally means someone who is actively 17 working on behalf of the Party doing the Party's business. 18 How does the Chinese Communist Party relate to the 19 rest of what we're going to see on the chart -- meaning the 20 Government and the military? 21 Well, Communist Parties like the Chinese Communist 22 Party adhere to some important principles. They primarily 23 came from Vladimir Lenin, and one of them is the Communist 24 Party must penetrate all of the other elements of society. 25 So the Chinese Communist Party penetrates all of the

other boxes that you see on this screen. Most of them, you know, if it's government organizations, the leaders of those government organizations are also Party members. Senior officers in the military are also Party members, and it is often the case that the Party committee within those organizations is the real power, not necessarily the person who is identified as the head of that organization.

Q Before we get to the larger parts of the government, I would like to start at the top of this exhibit where it says Politburo Standing Committee.

Will you explain what that term is and how the Politburo Standing Committee relates to the rest of this chart?

- A So the Politburo Standing Committee is the smallest and most powerful organization within the Chinese Communist Party. It's currently made up of seven people, and those seven party members exercise top level authority and power within the Chinese system.
- **Q** Who is the, who is -- is there a singular head of the Politburo?
- A So while the Communist Party is supposed to be run through so-called collective leadership, in essence, there is always one person who is considered first among equals.

Currently that is a man names Xi Jinping; and the way you know in the Chinese system that he is first among equals

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 is he holds three critical positions. 2 He's the General Secretary of the Chinese Communist 3 Party, so he's the head of the Communist Party. He's the Chairman of the Central Military Commission, who is also on 4 5 this chart, which means he's the head of the military; and 6 he's also the Head of State or President of the Chinese 7 Government of the People's Republic of China, so because he 8 holds those three positions, he is considered the paramount 9 leader within the system. 10 Quick detour on naming conventions in China. In the 11 west are family names, or our last name, come last, and then 12 we have a given name, or first name, is that the same in 13 China or is it somewhat different? 14 No, it's, it's different. If you're speaking in a 15 Chinese context, the family surname comes first, and then 16 the given name, so when I say that his name is Xi Jinping 17 that means that his surname is Xi, and his given name is 18 Jinping.

Q Thank you. I'd like to turn your attention now to the Politburo. What is Politburo, and how does it relate to the Politburo Standing Committee?

A So those three top red boxes are kind of nested with one another. So the Central Committee is a group of about 400 people that are the most senior Party leaders throughout the system, and they vote to elect; and in this case, the

Politburo, which is about 25 people of the most senior people, and then that Politburo votes to elect the most senior leaders for the Standing Committee.

So by definition, members of the Standing Committee and members of the Politburo are also members of the Central Committee. It just sort of next down to a smaller number of people as you get to the top of the chart.

- Q So what is the role of the Central Committee and then the pyramid up to the Politburo Standing Committee, what is their role in shaping policy and decisions in China?
- A So the Central Committee is largely honorific. It only meets a couple of times a year, and its main role is to simply ratify decisions made by the higher elements and also to hold these elections to be able to elect people to the Politburo and the Politburo Standing Committee.

People on the Politburo, those 25 people, have significant jobs. So they're not just members of the Politburo. They tend to also be the Party's Secretary of major cities like Shanghai or Beijing or things like that; and then finally the Politburo Standing Committee are the seven people in the current configuration who make the most significant decisions across the entire system, so major foreign policy decisions, major economic decisions, major military decisions.

Q Directing your attention to the box below Central

Committee that says Party Apparatus, what is the Party Apparatus, and is it really that confined?

A So that was just meant to be a catch-all for the fact that there are Party organizations at every level of system in China, so at the national level in Beijing then down to the provincial level, which is what China calls -- you know, what we would call states. China calls provinces. All the way down to the very lowest levels.

There are part organizations related to propaganda, related to organization, related to ideology work, and so all -- we sort of subsumed all of that entire national organization into this one box called the Party Apparatus; but the main intent was to say that those are those Party Cadre that we were talking about that work throughout the system as, as members and operational personnel of the Communist Party.

- **Q** Directing your attention to the left box in green. Very briefly what is the Central Military Commission?
- A So the Central Military Commission is the top military leadership organization in China. You can think of it as roughly analogous to the Joint Chiefs of Staff at the Pentagon, and so these are the top commanders, the service branch leads for the Air Force and Navy and Rocket Forces and things like that, and this organization is lead by Xi Jinping, who is a civilian, and they make all of the major

1 military decisions for the entire Chinese military system. 2 And then turning your attention to the right box in 3 gray where it says State Council -- before I start that 4 question, what do the boxes in gray represent on this 5 chart? 6 So the boxes in gray are, are government organizations 7 defined as sort of Executive Branch Government like we have 8 in the United States. 9 So I realize that can be somewhat confusing, because 10 I'm basically saying the Chinese Communist Party is the head 11 of the Chinese system; but if you think about government as, 12 you know, people who are running Ministries related to water 13 resources, and the people who are carrying out the daily 14 functions of government people, that's what those gray boxes 15 are. 16 Are Chinese Communist Party members present in --17 throughout the Government? 18 Yes. Almost every senior military officer and Α 19 government official in China is also a Party member. 20 extremely rare to have a government or military leader who 21 is not a Communist Party member. 22

Q Now, I'd like to turn your attention back to the State Council and ask you to explain that term to the Jury?

23

24

25

A So the State Council is roughly analogous to President Biden's cabinet, so it's made up of the heads of all of the

major Ministries in China, and ministry's almost exactly the same as the word department in our Federal Government.

So China has a Ministry of Education. We have a Department of Education, and they perform the same function, and then there's about a dozen or so so-called commissions also in the State Council, but it is roughly a gigantic sort of government cabinet; and it's headed by a man who is also on the Politburo Standing Commission named Li Keqiang, who is the premier or Prime Minister of China.

In other words, the number two person in the Standing Committee is always the person who is responsible for running the day-to-day operations of the Chinese Government.

Q Right.

MR. McKENZIE: And I ask that we scroll down in the exhibit so State Council can still be seen at the top.

Right there. Thank you very much.

BY MR. McKENZIE:

- Q I'd like to direct your attention to the next row where there are four different Ministries listed. Are these the only Ministries in the Chinese Government?
- A No. This is four out of about 25.
- Q I'd like to start with the Ministry of Science and Technology. Are you familiar with that Ministry?
- **A** I am.

25

1 Please describe to the Jury what the Ministry of Q 2 Science and Technology is? 3 So there really isn't a good analogy in the American system to this Ministry. It is a very large government 4 5 organization dedicated to developing strategies and plans and programs and funding for major science and technology 6 initiatives that China wants to undertake in order --7 8 primarily to achieve certain economic modernization and 9 military modernization goals. 10 You mentioned strategy and planning. Are you familiar 11 with the term Five-Year Plan? 12 Five-Year Plans are very important in Communist and 13 Leninist systems. They -- you know, by definition, 14 Communist Parties love to plan, and the most important 15 planning unit within these types of systems is the so-called 16 Five-Year Plan. 17 We are currently in China in the -- on the 14th 18 Five-Year Plan, so they've been doing this for 70 years, and 19 that 14th Five-Year Plan actually started this year in 2021. 20 So explain to the Jury how a Five-Year Plan works, 21 from how it's developed to how it's implemented? 22 Α So, first and foremost, what really matters is the 23 objectives that are set that they want to achieve by the end 24 of the five years, and so they set those objectives, and

then they develop planning requirements for all the programs

and the things that they would need in order to achieve those objectives by the end of the five-year period; and then every one in the system is given their own smaller pieces of this that they need to implement, and this becomes basically the metrics for the entire system as to how performance is judged.

- **Q** And how is the Five-Year Plan communicated to the public?
- A So it's very rare for the Five-Year Plan as a standing document to be published. In fact, I don't think we've ever seen a full copy of one, but it is heavily publicized in the Chinese state media, so the goals of the plan, you know, key programs that are going to be launched, key things that are going to be funded receive a tremendous amount of fanfare, so we're often able to reverse engineer the key elements of the plan from that very loud state media coverage of its launch.
- Q I'd like to turn your attention to the far right of the screen where it says Ministry of Education. Please explain to the Jury what the Ministry of Education is, and what it does?
- A So this is the easiest one on the chart in a sense that it -- the role of the Chinese Ministry of Education is almost exactly the same as the U.S. Department of Education.

In other words, it sets high level education policy

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

across the entire Chinese system, whether that's curricula, teacher training, things along those lines; but one of the big differences is, is that whereas in the United States we have private universities as well as state universities but that are still run by individual State Boards of Regents and things like that, in China all of the universities in China are directly subordinate to the Ministry of Education, and the party committee of the Ministry of Education chooses the leaders of those universities as well as even Deans and Provosts and heads of departments. I'd like to turn your attention now to the Ministry of Industry and Information Technology. That's a mouth full. Is that sometimes referred to as the MIIT? Yes. Α Q Please explain what the MIIT is to the Jury? So the MIIT is a massive organization that is basically in charge of all industrial planning in China, and so within MIIT, for instance, there are planning Bureaus related to every industry you could think of -- petroleum, chemicals, telecommunications -- and within each of those offices, they then manage all of the industry factories and companies throughout China that are in that particular industry. They develop the smaller parts of the Five-Year Plans

related to that industry. They develop the objectives for

1 it, the funding for various initiatives to be able to fix 2 bottlenecks or gaps, and so it is just sort of a massive 3 centrally planned organization. 4 You mentioned objectives and initiatives, are you familiar with the phrase Made in China 2025? 5 6 Yes. So Made in China 2025 was a really important 7 industrial plan that was published in 2015 just before the 8 start of the 13th Five-Year Plan; and it identified ten 9 critical industrial areas that the Chinese Government 10 believed were going to be essential for the future economic modernization of the country, and then it identified within 11 12 those what the bottlenecks were, you know, what kind of 13 progress they wanted to make up to the year 2025; and then 14 put billions of dollars worth of funding toward being able 15 to achieve those objectives. 16 You mentioned that there were ten areas identified in that Made in China 2025. Was aviation industry one of 17 18 those --19 Yes. Α 20 -- factors? 21 Yeah, aviation and aerospace was definitely one of the 22 ten industries identified as a key priority under Made in 23 China 2025. 24 Was that the first time that MIIT had identified 25 aviation as a key industrial sector for the PRC Government?

25

Α

1 No, no. Aviation has actually been a key priority for Α 2 decades, and a key source of frustration because whereas 3 China has wanted to build, for instance, its own commercial aircraft, its failures to do that have resulted in them 4 5 forced to buy either Boeing or Airbus. 6 Now, I'm going to direct your attention to a box down 7 and to the right. The State Administration of Science, 8 Technology, and Industry for National Defense. Is that 9 sometimes called SASTIND? 10 It is because that's a real mouth full. 11 Will you can please explain to the Jury what the 12 SASTIND's role is? 13 So SASTIND was an organization that was set up in 14 order to communicate the requirements from the military to 15 the Defense Industrial Base, to the companies that were 16 building China's weapons -- the State-Owned Enterprises that 17 were building China's weapons; and so that is, its primary 18 function is to be the intermediary to say what are the 19 military requirements and then to make sure that the Defense 20 Industrial companies were producing weapons that met those 21 requirements. 22 Now, what, if any, relationship does the Ministry of 23 Industry and Information Technology have with universities 24 in China?

So through SASTIND there are a subset of universities

that, you know, frankly, for lack of a better term, I call them Defense Industrial Universities.

These are universities that are largely engineering schools and are deeply, deeply connected to the Chinese defense industry and the military system, and largely do research and academic training to train people to basically build weapons in the Defense Industrial Base.

- **Q** Does the United States have an, an analogous type of university that you can point to?
- A No, and that's one of the difficulties. We don't really have anything in the United States that would approach these Defense Industrial Universities, particularly the closeness of their ties to the defense industries and to the military.
- Q Directing your attention to the bottom right corner, are you familiar with the Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics?
- A Yes, more commonly known as the NUAA. It is one of the oldest and most prestigious of those Defense Industrial Universities; and as you can see from the title, primarily focuses on aviation research, primarily military aircraft, as well as space, space craft.
- **Q** Are you familiar with the term Seven Sons of National Defense as it relates to NUAA?
- A Yes. So within the Chinese system the most -- the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

oldest and most prestigious of these Defense Industrial Universities, there are seven of them, and they are known as the Seven Sons of National Defense, and NUAA is one of those seven sons. Now, can you please explain how the management of NUAA works between the Ministry of Industry and Information Technology and the Ministry of Education? Α Sure. So they're sort of co-parenting in a sense. The Ministry of Education is largely responsible for the running of NUAA as a university. So appointing leadership, curricula policy, teaching and testing, and things along those lines. Whereas SASTIND under the MIIT is almost entirely focused on determining what the defense and military-related research agenda is at the university. So they have pretty clear lanes in the road that separate their responsibilities. I'd like to pivot now to State-Owned Enterprises. you familiar with the term State-Owned Enterprises as it relates to China? Yes. Α Will you please explain to the Jury what a State-Owned Enterprise is? In China currently a State-Owned Enterprise is a company that is majority owned by the Chinese Government,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

industry?

Α

not necessarily 100 percent. They have outside investors in many cases, but many of these very large government dominated companies grew out of the socialist period and were the sort of mainstays of the socialist production, and then they've tried to transform them as China underwent its economic revolution. I'm going to direct your attention to the box in the middle that says State-Owned Assets Supervision and Administration Commission or SASAC. Please explain to the Jury what SASAC's role is as it relates to State-Owned Enterprises? So as you can imagine, many of these State-Owned Enterprises are huge. They're bloated. They are inefficient. They're unprofitable, and so over the years, the Chinese Government has really tried to sort of coach them up and make them more attractive for sources of international investment, make them more profitable. SASAC is in charge of running the largest of all of these State-Owned Enterprises and is the mechanism by which the Government really tries to regulate them, and, frankly, just make them better companies. I'm going to turn your attention to the next row. But before I do, have you researched the Chinese aviation

I have. A number of years ago, along with a colleague

1 of mine, Sam Sax, I published a public report for a 2 Congressional commission on the Chinese aviation industry. 3 And as part of your experience, did you become 4 familiar with a State-Owned Enterprise known as the Aviation 5 Industry Corporation of China or AVIC? 6 Yes. Α 7 Q Will you please explain to the Jury what AVIC is? 8 So within the Chinese Defense Industrial Companies, 9 AVIC is responsible for production of military fighter 10 aircraft, bombers, drones, as well as helicopters. 11 Basically anything that -- anything that flies -- anything 12 that's air breathing, and is roughly analogous, I would say 13 to -- think companies like Lockheed-Martin or Northrop 14 Grumman that build advanced fighter aircraft and bombers in 15 the United States. 16 Directing your attention now to the box in, to the 17 left of AVIC. Did you become familiar with the Commercial 18 Aircraft Corporation of China or COMAC? 19 COMAC was actually a primary focus of the report Α 20 that we wrote because COMAC is the latest in a series of 21 Chinese companies that have been setup by the Government to, 22 again, try to achieve that goal of finally being able to 23 build a commercial airliner, and COMAC is the latest in that 24 string of companies that have tried to achieve that, and 25 their primary focus is a regional airliner known as the

1 C-919.

Q Directing your attention to the box to the left, the Aero Engine Corporation of China, or the AECC, did you become familiar with this State-Owned Enterprise?

A Yes, this is the primary State-Owned Enterprise for building advanced aircraft engines.

Q And how does -- excuse me. How do these State-Owned Enterprises fit into the PRC's central planning and Five-Year Plans?

A So at the Ministry level, when you're developing one of these Five-Year Plans -- these are bureaucrats. That's not to disparage them, but they're bureaucrats. They don't necessarily have the technical expertise within each of these industrial areas to know what the priorities should be, what the gaps and bottlenecks are that need to be overcome, where the money would be best spent.

So during the planning process, they reach down into the lower levels of the system where they can find that expertise, and then that moves back up, and it is incorporated in the planning.

So, for instance, if the Ministry of Science and
Technology and the Ministry of Industry and Information
Technology wanted to understand the current dilemmas related
to advanced aircraft engine development in China, they would
consult with Aero Engine Corporation of China and various

- research institutes subordinate to it in order to get that technical expertise for planning.
 - Q How do AVIC, COMAC, and AECC fit into Made in China 2025?
 - Mell, there's a big focus in Made in China 2025 of making sure that COMAC is a success in building a regional airliner like the C-919, and there's a major focus in the Made in China 2025 reports related to overcoming historic bottlenecks related to building advanced aircraft engines, which has tended to be one of the biggest impediments to successful development of a competitor to Boeing and Airbus.
 - Now, to fulfill these Five-Year Plans, including Made in China 2025, does each Ministry work on its own to achieve the goals set out by the plan or do they collaborate across Ministries?
 - A They have to collaborate, and within the Five-Year Planning document and within Made in China 2025, they actually identify which Ministries or which commissions are the lead in achieving an objective and which Ministries and commissions are required to support them, and that's all laid out in black and white in the documents.
 - Q Next, I'll direct your attention to the top left of the screen. Are you familiar with the term Ministry of State Security?
- **A** I am.

104 JAMES MULVENON, Ph.D. -- DIRECT Is that sometimes referred to as the MSS? Q Α Yes. What is the MSS? So the MSS is China's premiere intelligence organization, and it is responsible for external intelligence operations, operations occurring in foreign countries, as well as an important role in domestic counterintelligence. So in that way it is roughly analogous on the one hand to the Central Intelligence Agency in the United States, but also the counterintelligence part of the F.B.I. So internally, within the MSS, how is the bureaucracy of the MSS structured? So the headquarters level at MSS, they have a series Α of numbered Bureaus, and these numbered Bureaus correspond to either regional focus.

So there are Bureaus that focus on Europe and the United States and Russia and other sort of international targets by region, and there are a number of Bureaus that are focused on functional issues like surveillance or technical collection and things along those lines.

- Q Are you familiar with the Sixth Bureau?
- 23 Α Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- 24 What is the Sixth Bureau?
- 25 So under the current re-organization, the Sixth Bureau Α

1 is responsible for science and technology intelligence. 2 In addition to this bureaucratic structure, does the 3 Ministry of State Security have a regional structure across 4 China? 5 It does. And please explain that to the Jury? 6 So, again, I said that China has provinces, which are 7 8 roughly the same as our states here in the United States; 9 and each of those provinces has a State Security Department, 10 which is subordinate to the MSS headquarters in Beijing. Also most of the major metropolitan areas that have 11 12 province level status because they're so huge, they also 13 have State Security Departments associated with them. 14 Are you familiar with the Jiangsu State Security 15 Department? So Jiangsu is a province of China, so naturally it has 16 17 its own State Security Department, and that's responsible 18 for counterintelligence issues within the Province of 19 Jiangsu as well as running operations potentially that could 20 exploit opportunities like foreign companies that are based 21 in Jiangsu Province, and that even includes then extending 22 international operations if, in fact, there is a nexus with

Q Is the Jiangsu State Security Department sometimes referred to as the JSSD?

Jiangsu somehow in the operation.

23

24

A Yes.

- 3 bureaucratically?
- 4 A So it's roughly a mirror to the headquarters in
- 5 Beijing, so it also has a series of numbered Bureaus and
- 6 that creates kind of a matrix management system, so if
- 7 you're in the Sixth Bureau at JSSD, so the S&T intelligence,
- 8 you're, you're subordinate to your bosses there at the
- 9 Jiangsu State Security Department level, but you also have a
- 10 direct relationship with the Sixth Bureau at headquarters,
- 11 | so it's a bit of a cross matrix.
- 2 So what is the responsibility of the Sixth Bureau of
- 13 the JSSD?
- 14 **A** On one level it's -- if there are opportunities to do
- 15 | science and technology intelligence collection in the
- 16 Province of Jiangsu itself -- for instance, if there's a
- 17 | foreign multinational company headquarter and based in
- Jiangsu -- that provides an opportunity; and you also have
- opportunities then to take advantage of relationships that
- 20 organizations in Jiangsu have with organizations abroad and
- 21 then use those as a cover for intelligence operations.
- 22 **Q** Earlier you testified that you wrote a book on Chinese
- 23 Industrial Espionage and that also used the term technology
- 24 transfer.
- 25 **A** Uh-huh.

- When you use the phrase industrial espionage and technology transfer, please explain to the Jury what you mean by that?
- A Well, I want to be clear. There's legal technology transfer. I mean, lots of U.S. companies, for instance, when they do business in China will set up joint ventures with a Chinese partner.

It's often, you know, encouraged (Indicating), by the Ministry, the regulator, that you then transfer technology to the Chinese partner as part of the deal, which is, which is completely aboveboard.

But illegal or illicit tech transfer obviously is when trade secrets or other corporate proprietary information is stolen from the company or is misused or transferred by someone associated with the company.

- Q Based on your research in publications, what, if any, role does the Chinese Government have in this illicit technology transfer that you described?
- A Well, the Chinese Government has a very direct and active role in industrial espionage abroad both in terms of activities by humans but also cyber espionage through hackers; and the reason was very clear. There are a number of Chinese documents that very clearly say, in cases where the technology can be obtained from within China, China obtain it within China; but in cases where it can't be, we

- have to obtain it through other means, and those other means are taken to mean industrial or cyber espionage.
 - **Q** Why does the Chinese Government care about targeting technology for this type of transfer?
 - A Well, as you can probably get the impression from my earlier comments, the Chinese Government is sitting on top of a -- sort of a hybrid capitalistic economy where there's still a tremendous state role in central planning and directing the economic.

As such, the Chinese Government doesn't believe that its companies are just supposed to go figure these problems out for themselves, but instead takes upon it as one of its roles to develop systems that allow those companies to overcome these problems; and the ultimate goal, of course, is for China to increase -- as a country, to increase its economic and its military power commensurate with the view of the leadership about China's rightful place in the world.

- **Q** Who within China directs this technology transfer?
- A Well, there are thousands of different elements within the Chinese system that are working on pieces of this. That was the main thrust of our 2013 book, Chinese Industrial Espionage, and so there is not one single figure sort of in the center of the system, but instead it is a very diffused set of requirements. It just it really varies from

1 technology to technology and from goal to goal.

- Q Earlier you mentioned both the words espionage and cyber espionage. Before I get to that, I would like to ask you about a phrase called non-traditional collectors. Are you familiar with that phrase?
- **A** I am.

- **Q** Have you published books and articles about this phrase?
- A Our 2013 -- the 2013 book and the 2018 book that I published extensively discussed the term non-traditional collector.
- **Q** Will you please provide the Jury with an overview of what is a non-traditional collector?
- A Well, I think it might be helpful first to talk about what a traditional collector is, and this is your standard view of espionage where you have an intelligence officer from a foreign intelligence service who seeks to recruit assets and then runs those assets and communicates with them, and either wittingly or unwittingly that asset provides information or technology or things to his handler (Indicating), if you will.

Non-traditional collection is much more common in Chinese industrial espionage, and the difference is you often don't have that nexus of a formal foreign intelligence service officer managing agents.

Instead what you have are scientists and researchers from either -- let's just take the case of the United States -- from U.S. institutions or scientists and researchers from Chinese institutions who then informally work to identify potential sources of technology, set up companies to try and buy it or acquire it, and so because of that lack of a direct nexus with a, you know, foreign intelligence service like the MSS, that's why they call it non-traditional.

- Q Let's pivot back to the traditional method that you were referring to. Within China -- within the Chinese Government, who, if anyone, conducts traditional espionage targeting cyber -- excuse me, targeting science and technology?
- A The primary, the primary organization is the Ministry of State Security, and to a lesser extent there are military intelligence elements that do this; but the MSS takes requirements from all of the planning processes that I've been describing and converts them into intelligence targeting priorities and then tries to identify people or organizations either within China or abroad who have direct or indirect access to what they want, and then they build intelligence collection operations around the goal of then exploiting those accesses to get to get the technology or the scientific data.

25

Α

1 And in earlier explanation you were talking about Q 2 running sources and people being witting or not witting. 3 Are you familiar with the term non-official cover? 4 Α Yes. Will you please explain to the Jury what that means? 5 6 So now we're talking about intelligence officers; but 7 there are two basic categories of intelligence officers, 8 both within our own C.I.A. as well as foreign services. 9 One is a declared officer. Often these individuals 10 have diplomatic cover. They work at the embassy as the 11 cultural attache or some other anodyne title, but they are 12 in a sense known to the host government as potential 13 intelligence officers. 14 Non-official cover are really the sort of spies of 15 fiction in a sense that they come in under, under commercial 16 cover or journalist cover or, you know, any other type of 17 organization that tries to reduce the suspicion about them. 18 They do not have any diplomatic protections. They do 19 not have diplomatic immunity. Those are called non-official 20 cover officers or NOCs. 21 I'm going to ask you about an organization not on this 22 chart. Are you familiar with an organization called the 23 Jiangsu Provincial Association for Science and Technology or 24 JAST?

Yes. JAST was one of the many organizations that we

1 profiled in our 2013 book.

- Q And please explain to the Jury what JAST purports to be, and what it actually is?
- A Well, on one basic level, and it is -- it is a legitimate professional association for scientists and engineers and researchers who are based in the Province of Jiangsu to be a member of a professional association of their peers.

But at the same time -- as we documented in our book -- these organizations also have an operational role in the sense of spotting and assessing potential sources of science or technology, again, either in the Province of Jiangsu or in terms of attracting people from abroad to come to China, live in China, do research in China through various talent programs or other sources of funding that they might be able to offer in order to entice people to bring those -- that capability and that knowledge to the Province of Jiangsu.

- **Q** Earlier you mentioned cyber espionage. What do you mean by cyber espionage?
- A By cyber espionage I mean using computer hackers to break into networks and people's accounts to be able to steal digital data, often at a remote distance, and in many ways cyber espionage has become one of the preferred methods for the Chinese Government because you don't have to build

the infrastructure of intelligence officers and language training and everything that goes along with that, which has the potential risk of your intelligence officer getting arrested or captured in a foreign country and put on trial.

Whereas cyber espionage, as many of you know, there's a large level of plausible deniability. Sometimes it's very difficult to do attribution of who is actually hacking your network, and so you can steal large volumes of information very quickly and then plausibly say that wasn't us.

- Q When the MSS conducts these traditional espionage operations or cyber espionage operations, do they limit themselves to only publicly available information or do they target proprietary information including trade secrets?
- A They definitely target proprietary information including trade secrets up to and including stealing actually classified information.
- How does the MSS know what technology to target?
- A Well, intelligence services sometimes have a level of technical expertise, but in general, they are -- they rely on the technical expertise offered by other elements of the Chinese system in order to refine the targeting requirements.

So as an example, if there was a targeting requirement to obtain advanced engine technology, they would consult

with and receive technical inputs from organizations in China that, that are filled with engineers and experts on aircraft engines.

Sometimes -- because sometimes in the intelligence collection process, you don't know exactly what you have. You think you have -- you have a big pile of documents, maybe people have given you a big pile of blueprints or schematics, but often the intelligence officer doesn't have the expertise to be able to make heads or tales of it, and so that has to be processed and evaluated by technical experts who can then say, this is what we wanted. This isn't what we wanted. We want something that looks similar to that, and then you can iterate the intelligence collection process with those new more precise targeting guidance to be able to try to actually get what you want.

- Q Once the information is collected by the MSS, where does the information go? What do they do with it?
- MSS, and so it then at the national level, there's a variety of pathways by which it could be processed and exploited, and we document a number of those very large groups in Beijing that have large numbers of technically trained English translators who can then go through all the material to make it more intelligible to people who don't read English; and then it works its way back down the system,

THE COURT: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

largely to State entities, State-Owned Enterprises, State Research Institutes that then can exploit that information in order to overcome whatever bottleneck or gap caused that to become a requirement in the first place. And what is the net effect of this industrial espionage on the State-Owned Enterprises? Α Well, the net effect is twofold. One, it potentially allows China and its State-Owned Enterprises to achieve the objective that they have in their planning apparatus, frankly, without all of the hard work and the billions of dollars that went into the R&D from the people who invented it themselves. And so it saves the Chinese system time. It saves the Chinese system money, and they take advantage of all of the time and money that, that the people they stole the information from invested in it. On the other side, of course, is that if that then leads to the development of a Chinese State-Owned Enterprise that then becomes a competitor to the company that originally had the science and technology information, then it can potentially have a negative economic impact on the U.S. company that now has a very potent competitor that is building products using their stolen technology. MR. McKENZIE: Can I have a moment, Your Honor?

1 (Mr. McKenzie confers with co-counsel.) 2 BY MR. McKENZIE: I just have one guick clarification for you. Is the 3 Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Aeronautics in Jiangsu 4 5 Province? It is. Nanjing is the capitol of Jiangsu Province. 6 7 And similarly we talked about JAST earlier, is that 8 also in Jiangsu Province? 9 Α Yes. 10 MR. McKENZIE: Okay. I have no further questions, 11 Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Very well. Ladies and gentlemen of the 13 Jury. We started at two. We're going to try and stop at 14 4:30. Your break should be in the middle of that. 15 I had that calculated at 3:07, and the lawyer must 16 have seen my calculation because this is a good moment to 17 get your break. 18 Other days our afternoons will be longer so each 19 half will be just a little longer. I've been watching you. You're paying close attention, and the Court and the 20 21 community appreciate it. 22 During the next 15 minute break, I want you to take 23 a break. No talking about the case with anybody, including 24 yourself. No independent research. Continue to keep an 25 open mind.

```
1
                We will ask you -- we will call for you from the
2
       ninth floor in 15 minutes, and out of respect for you, we'll
 3
       rise as you leave.
                COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise for the Jury.
 4
                THE COURT: Lead them out, sir. Just walk right
 5
 6
       out. Let's not invade the court reporter.
       (The Jury exited the courtroom.)
7
 8
                THE COURT: The Jury is leaving the room, and the
 9
       door is closing. To the witness, sir, do not discuss your
10
       testimony that you've given with anyone during the break.
11
       Understood?
12
                THE WITNESS: Understood.
                THE COURT: We're in recess until that time.
13
14
                COURTROOM DEPUTY: This Court is now in recess.
15
       (Court was in recess at 3:05 p.m. and resumed at 3:21 p.m.)
16
                THE COURT: You may be seated. We're back in the
17
       open courtroom. The Jury's not yet here. We've had a
18
       15 minute break. The full government team is here. Full
19
       Defense team is here, including the Defendant and his
20
       interpreter.
21
                Are we ready to get the Jury from the Government's
22
       perspective?
23
                MR. McKENZIE: Yes, Your Honor.
24
                THE COURT: And from the Defense?
25
                MS. CORS: Yes, Your Honor.
```

1	THE COURT: Are you going to cross-examine this
2	witness?
3	MS. CORS: I am, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Do you really feel that's necessary?
5	MS. CORS: Just a few questions.
6	THE COURT: Very well. Let's call for the Jury.
7	Outside the presence of the Jury, once this witness is done,
8	I'm not overwhelmingly committed to move forward on your
9	next witness. Do you have an estimate of direct on your
10	next witness?
11	MS. GLATFELTER: Your Honor, about 20 to
12	30 minutes.
13	THE COURT: Very well.
14	MS. GLATFELTER: Maybe less.
15	THE COURT: Is there any problem if we don't get
16	him on today?
17	MS. GLATFELTER: I don't think so. He has a flight
18	back to Belgium tomorrow afternoon, so he's our first
19	witness, I think.
20	THE COURT: Very well. But he's here and ready to
21	go if we get to him?
22	MS. GLATFELTER: Yes, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Very well. Outside the presence of the
24	Jury, if we have time to put the next witness on for
25	purposes of direct only, is the Defense going to be

```
1
       uncomfortable holding cross until tomorrow as opposed to
 2
       doing them both tomorrow? I just don't want to set you
 3
       off.
                MR. MIEDEL: Your Honor, Florian Miedel. I'm going
 4
 5
       to be crossing. I'm not uncomfortable --
 6
                THE COURT: I heard you're going to do the cross.
7
       What else did you saying?
                MR. MIEDEL: It's fine if we do cross tomorrow.
 8
 9
                THE COURT: Is that your preference rather than
10
       splitting it?
11
                MR. MIEDEL: We can do the direct today if you
12
      prefer --
13
                THE COURT: Okay.
14
                MR. MIEDEL: -- and I can do cross tomorrow or we
15
       can do both tomorrow.
16
                THE COURT: You are a true professional.
17
                COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise for the Jury.
18
       (The Jurors entered the courtroom.)
19
                THE COURT: All Jurors returned. We may all be
20
       seated. Members of the Jury, we've taken our mid-afternoon
21
       break. Next stage is for the Defense to have an opportunity
22
       to ask questions of the first witness of the Government, so
23
       we will proceed to cross-examination in that regard.
24
                You understand you're still under oath, sir?
25
                THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
```

```
1
                THE COURT: Very well. Cross may commence.
 2
                MS. CORS: Thank you, Your Honor.
 3
                THE COURT: And for the court reporter's benefit,
 4
       name of the lawyer?
 5
                MS. CORS: Good afternoon, Your Honor, ladies and
 6
       gentlemen of the Jury, Dr. Mulvenon, counsel, Jeanne Cors on
7
       behalf of Mr. Xu.
 8
                             CROSS-EXAMINATION
 9
       BY MS. CORS:
10
             Good afternoon, Dr. Mulvenon. On your direct, you
11
       spent some time talking about your background, and the
12
       various trainings that you have had over the years; and as I
13
       understand your testimony, you have been trained as a
14
       linguist; is that correct?
15
       Α
             Yes, ma'am.
16
             And you have degrees in political science, Asian
17
       studies specifically; is that correct?
18
             Yes.
       Α
19
             But you don't have any formal training in computer
20
       science; is that correct?
21
             That is true.
       Α
22
             Okay. And you have no formal training as an engineer;
23
       is that correct?
24
             That is correct.
       Α
25
             And you've never worked in the aviation industry; is
       Q
```

1 that correct? 2 I have not. 3 So you're not in a position to talk about any specific information relating to the aviation industry and whether it 4 5 is or is not a trade secret; is that correct? That is correct. 6 Α 7 And you have never worked for the Federal Government, 8 I believe you testified; correct? 9 I have not had the privilege. 10 And that includes no work at one of the Federal 11 Government's intelligence agencies; correct? 12 Α Correct. 13 Either with the Department of Defense, the F.B.I., the 14 C.I.A.; is that correct? 15 Α That is correct. 16 So am I correct in assuming that your information 17 regarding those entities and whatever work that they do is 18 primarily based on open source information? 19 It's based primarily on 26 years of working with them 20 directly. 21 Is it based on publicly available information? Q 22 Α Not entirely. 23 Classified information? Q 24 None of the testimony I gave today in any way involved 25 classified information.

1 Okay. So the testimony you gave today involves Q 2 generally publicly available information? 3 All of my testimony today involved publicly available information. 4 5 Okay. And your current job as you've described it is with a company called SOS International; correct? 6 7 Α Yes. 8 And that company is primarily a contractor for the 9 Federal Government; is that correct? 10 Primarily for the DOD and for the Department of 11 Homeland Security. 12 Okay. And do you know how much income SOS has derived 13 from the Federal Government since 2008? 14 I do not. It is a privately owned family company, and Α 15 even within SOS International, those pieces of data are very 16 closely held by the family members. 17 Would it surprise you to know that there are reports that the company's received \$1.2 billion from the Federal 18 19 Government since 2008 in the form of contracts? 20 That wouldn't surprise me, no, but I can't verify that 21 number. 22 Okay. As an aside, you're being compensated to be 23 here today; is that correct? 24 I am being paid for my time to give truthful 25 testimony, yes.

1 Understood. You mentioned that part of your job at Q 2 SOS and the team that you lead is to assist in collecting 3 and analyzing information on behalf of the Department of 4 Homeland Security, Department of Defense, and other entities 5 within the Federal Government; is that an accurate 6 description? 7 Α Yes, ma'am. 8 And you describe that, I believe, as open source 9 research; correct? 10 Right. The current term of art is publicly available 11 information, because open source requires government 12 authorities. 13 So publicly available information? 14 Yes, ma'am. Α 15 Q Can you walk the Jury through -- and I'm not asking 16 you for specifics with respect to any project -- but if the 17 Department of Homeland Security comes to you and has a 18 project, can you walk me through the steps of how you come 19 to understand what the terms of the project are, and how you would go about fulfilling, collecting, and analyzing the 20 21 information that's requested? 22 Α Well, in most cases, they know roughly what they want, 23 but they don't know what kinds of data are available. So 24 I'll give you an example just as a theoretical example. 25 Let's say the U.S. Government is interested in China's current research on artificial intelligence, and they want to know who's doing it in China? Where are they doing it? What kind of progress are they making?

There are enormous amounts of publicly available

Chinese material, technical journal articles, patents,

corporate records, government websites, and then my analyst

would then, utilizing a variety of tools, collect all of the

relevant information in primary source language, in Chinese,

to be able to answer those questions, exploit that material

in native language, and then produce an analytic report in

English for our sponsor.

- Q So you're provided with guidelines, what is the Government looking for, and then you take those guidelines and you go out and you search for information that may answer those questions; is that an accurate description?
- A I would say that's about 50 percent of the time.

 About 50 percent of the time we go to them and we say, we have discovered a new source of publicly available information that we think might answer questions that we know are appropriate to your office because of your mission, and then we introduce that new information to them, and that tends to be more successful because we've already got the answer in hand.

Whereas if the Government asked us to try to go find something, I can't guarantee that we're going to be able to

1 find information. 2 So I would say most of the time we actually come to 3 them with at least the raw information and then design a 4 research program around it. 5 Would it be fair to say it's a pretty symbiotic 6 relationship? That they're sharing what they need. You're 7 sharing information you can find, and sometimes it goes back 8 and forth as you narrow down the target of -- what targeted 9 information the Government agency is interested in obtaining 10 and getting analyzed? 11 Yes. I mean, it works best when it's iterative like 12 that. When, in fact, there is a sharing of requirements, 13 yes. 14 And is that -- that's standard in a lot of your 15 projects that it would be iterative? You would come back 16 with information. They would drill down on that 17 information. Come back maybe with some follow-ups. 18 That's exactly how it works. Α 19 Okay. And with respect to this information, you 20 mentioned a lot of the information may be in, in Mandarin Chinese; correct? 21 22 Α Yes. 23 Is that information in the United States, information 24 in China, information that's mainly on the web, or would it 25 be a combination of all three of those?

- 1 It's a combination. The one thing they have all in Α 2 common is that the information is publicly available. 3 Okay. When you mentioned -- discussed information, is this always documents or written information or would the 4 5 information sometimes be obtained from individuals? 6 It's almost entirely documentary information. are rules about talking to humans, and there are certain 7 8 authorities that contractors do not have in terms of talking 9 to human beings that, that are important bright line legal 10 distinctions, and so we focus almost exclusively on 11 obtaining documentary material. 12 Have you ever gone over to China to obtain 13 information? 14 So during the first ten years of my career when I was 15 at the Rand Corporation, part of my job was to travel to 16 Beijing and meet with Chinese think tanks and other 17 specialists and talk to them about their view of Sino-U.S. 18 relations or their view of any number of issues, and then 19 sometimes write those reports up; but not surprisingly after 20 the 2013 publication of my book Chinese Industrial 21 Espionage, I was told by the Chinese Government that I was 22 persona non grata and would not be able to get a Visa to go
 - Q I assume that wasn't a big surprise to you; correct?
- 25 A No, it was not.

to the PRC.

23

24

24

25

1 Q So when you mentioned that you went over to China, you 2 would meet with other individuals, other experts in the 3 field; is that correct? Right. One distinction I'd like to make is that I 4 5 would always travel on an official Visa via an invitation 6 letter from a Chinese Government Institution. I believed it 7 was unethical for me to fly there on a tourist Visa 8 particularly given the somewhat shifting Chinese rules on 9 what constitutes espionage activities in the PRC, so I was 10 always there at the behest and invitation of an official 11 Chinese Government sponsor. 12 And on those Visas, I assume the employer that you 13 indicated was the Rand Corporation or whichever other 14 employer you were affiliated with at that time? 15 Α Yes, ma'am. 16 Did you ever disclose that you may be doing work on 17 behalf of one of the Government agencies as part of that 18 trip? 19 It was actually assumed by the Chinese side. 20 Chinese actually have a very inflated and exaggerated view 21 of the Rand Corporation's influence on the Pentagon that is 22 wholly unwarranted in my view, but it was not a -- it was 23 not a mystery to anyone why we were there and often we told

them specifically what we were looking for because we were

being asked not to surreptitiously gather information in

25

establish priorities?

1 China, but we were there specifically to talk to them and to 2 talk to their experts and write it up in published reports 3 that would be unclassified and put on Rand's website, so if the Chinese didn't know what we were doing there when we 4 5 were there, they certainly knew soon after. 6 So that's your assumption that they knew, but it was 7 never disclosed in your Visa application, correct, that you 8 may be also working on a contract for the Department of 9 Homeland Security or an intelligence agency; is that 10 correct? 11 It was -- the specific contractual details were not 12 mentioned in any of the Visa materials, no. So as far as the documentation that you used was 13 14 concerned, it always solely referenced the private entity 15 with which you are affiliated; correct? 16 Right. Α Okay. During your direct testimony you talked about 17 18 these Five-Year Plans; correct? 19 Α Yes. 20 And as I understand your description of the Five-Year 21 Plans, these are China's method of industrial planning; is 22 that correct? 23 Α Yes. 24 So these are programs that are put in place to

1 Α Correct. 2 To help figure out where funding should be allocated 3 over this period of time to best achieve the priorities of, 4 of the Government; correct? 5 Yes. 6 Now, I think, you know, in past statements you've made, you've indicated industrial planning, Five-Year Plans, 7 8 there's nothing illegal about those; correct? 9 Not at all. 10 Okay. And, in fact, you take issue with anyone 11 suggesting that something like the phrase Industrial 12 Planning is a dirty phrase; correct? 13 Α Yes. 14 Could you share with the Jury from your perspective, 15 what are some of the benefits of engaging in industrial 16 planning? 17 Well, there's a central level of government 18 coordination. You know, you can see that here in the United 19 States with President Biden's Infrastructure Plan that he's 20 trying to pass through Congress. 21 There's a sense that individual states in the United 22 States thinking of their own interests are not thinking of 23 larger industrial planning priorities, and that there are in 24 some cases is an advantage for the Federal Government of the 25 United States to engage in what looks like industrial

1 planning. 2 Like building the Eisenhower Interstate System. 3 U.S. states individually would not have built the national 4 interstate system. It took the Federal Government to do 5 that. 6 And I believe you stated in the past NASA was part of an industrial planning program, was it not? 7 8 Α There's no other way to describe NASA other than a 9 Government's directed industrial planning organization. 10 And how about the Internet? The Internet was, in fact, not necessarily industrial 11 12 planning, but it was funded and built by the Pentagon under 13 what was then called ARPA. It's now called DARPA, the 14 Defense Advance Research Projects Agency, and the Internet 15 wouldn't exist without the U.S. Government's leadership on 16 that. 17 And every year the President of the United States puts 18 out a budget, right, a budget request? Would you view that 19 as a type of planning document? 20 It mostly is. 21 And how about documents prepared by the U.S. Congress, 22 like, Defense Authorization Bills? Bills that will say we 23 want to spend money on a certain program, but, in fact, the 24 money is separately allocated through a different process, 25 would those types of authorization bills also be a type of

```
1
       planning?
 2
       Α
             Yes.
 3
             So not always funded but establishing the goals and
       the policy preferences of that entity; correct?
 4
 5
             Yes.
       Α
 6
             And you testified that the Made in China 2025 Program
7
       focused on ten priority sectors; correct?
 8
       Α
             Yes.
 9
             That included aerospace and aviation?
10
       Α
             Correct.
11
             In your opinion, is it smart for a government to try
12
       to identify priorities before spending money?
13
       Α
             Yes.
14
             Okay. So, again, you don't see anything nefarious
15
       about these plans. You actually view them as something
16
       positive; correct?
17
             Right. My objection is to how they're executed.
18
             Okay. We'll get to that. In those plans -- let's
19
       focus first on the current Five-Year Plan. Is there any
20
       statement in that plan directing anyone in China to engage
21
       in illegal conduct?
22
       Α
             As we've not seen the actual text of the 14th
23
       Five-Year Plan, I couldn't tell you that.
24
             So you have no basis for concluding that the
25
       Five-Year Plan directs anyone to engage in anything illegal;
```

1 correct? 2 I can only point to a correlation between illegal 3 tech transfer acquisition activities that just happened to 4 line up 100 percent with the requirements of the plan. 5 So you're making assumptions about the plan, but you can't point to anything in the plan that would direct anyone 6 7 to engage in illegal conduct; correct? 8 Α Not in the 14th Five-Year Plan, but I can point to 9 other Chinese plans that specifically mention using 10 espionage as an acquisition technique. 11 Do they specifically mention stealing trade secrets? 0 12 Yes. Α 13 And what were those -- what are those? Q 14 The 2006 to 2020 Medium to Long-Range Science and Α 15 Technology Plan, for instance, has an entire section 16 explaining how to obtain technology that is not resident in 17 China. 18 Okay. 0 19 And it specifically talks about using, using 20 non-traditional collection to achieve that. 21 Okay. We're going to return to that, but you just 22 mentioned technology, non-traditional collection, but my 23 question was very, very targeted. Trade secrets. Does that 24 plan specifically direct anyone to steal trade secrets? 25 No public Chinese document that I've seen says that, Α

```
1
       no.
2
             Okay. Thank you. I'd like to turn to your testimony
 3
       regarding the Ministry of State Security, and you spent a
 4
       long time talking about the Ministry itself, how -- where it
 5
       fits in the overall structure of the Chinese Government;
 6
       correct?
7
       Α
             Yes.
 8
             And then you talked about these different divisions
 9
       and departments and local offices; correct?
10
             Yes.
       Α
11
             Let's start with the functions of the MSS. You
12
       testified that the MSS is somewhat of a hybrid of, and
13
       similar to the F.B.I. and the C.I.A. in the United States;
14
       correct?
15
       Α
             Yes.
16
             Okay. I believe you stated that it was a civilian
17
       intelligence service; correct?
18
       Α
             Yes.
19
             Okay. Also that it's responsible for external
20
       intelligence operations; is that correct?
21
       Α
             Yes.
22
             Okay. And that it also serves -- and I apologize.
23
       didn't recall if you've testified to that -- but isn't it
24
       true that also serves domestic counterintelligence
25
       functions?
```

1 Α That is correct. 2 Can you describe to the Jury what domestic 3 counterintelligence functions would be? They are dedicated to finding foreign spies operating 4 Α 5 in China. 6 And what are some of the methods from your 7 understanding that an entity like the MSS or any other 8 domestic Chinese organization would use to do that? 9 Well, I've seen them firsthand. Extensive 10 surveillance, both human, vehicle, and physical and 11 technical surveillance, bugging hotel rooms, breaking into 12 hotel rooms and either stealing or copying laptops and 13 phones. Any number -- you know, monitoring communications 14 while in country. 15 Is it fair to say that you would view China as a 16 surveillance state? 17 Very much so, and I've written extensively about it. Α 18 And with respect to that surveillance, you just described individuals. How about companies? 19 20 Α Yes. 21 Okay. Q 22 Α Extensive industrial espionage. 23 No, I'm asking about surveillance and 24 counterintelligence. Surveillance is one method that these 25 entities use to monitor the companies and the individuals

- 1 who are on -- within their boarders; is that correct? 2 Very much so, yes. 3 Okay. I've seen in literature references to China 4 believing that cyberspace is not something that's 5 international. That cyberspace, when it is used within the national boarders of China, is something that China as a 6 country may regulate? 7 8 They, they've explicitly written that in a document 9 called the International Code of Conduct that Beijing 10 submitted to the United Nations, yes. 11 So China believes that any use of the cyberspace 12 within its boarders is an appropriate area for them to 13 regulate; correct? 14 They believe that they not only are responsible for 15 protecting the networks within their boarders but also 16 policing the content on those networks, yes. 17 Controlling information; correct? 18 Yes, yes, ma'am. Α 19 Okay. Now, you mentioned that you had served as an 20 expert in the past; correct? Yes, ma'am. 21 Α 22 Q And you've been deposed in connection with some of 23 those factions in the past; correct? 24 Yes, ma'am. Α
- 25 And do you recall in the past being asked what the Q

various functions of the MSS were? 1 2 Not specifically, no. 3 Do you recall indicating that in addition to the functions we just mentioned -- and I'm going to quote 4 5 this -- that another function of the MSS is open source collection and analysis. Do you recall stating that in a 6 deposition? 7 8 Α I do. 9 Okay. That's not a function you testified to on 10 direct; correct? 11 That is correct. MSS 11th Bureau, known as the China Α 12 Institute for Contemporary International Relations, which is 13 an organization that we met with frequently when I worked at 14 Rand, is, in fact, the open source intelligence organization 15 within the MSS. 16 Well, we'll circle back to that, but let me just be 17 clear: In your opinion one of the many functions of the MSS 18 as an entity is open source collection and analysis; 19 correct? 20 Yes, that's correct. 21 All right. I'd like to step back and just make sure 22 the Jury understands a couple of terms, and I know you have 23 defined these terms in some of your writings. 24 You've made a distinction between the term information 25 and the term intelligence?

A Uh-huh.

- 2 Q Can you explain to the Jury what you mean by those two terms and how they interrelate?
 - A So information or data is just simply any piece of, piece of data or information that, that has meaning to it.

Whereas, intelligence is a very stylized term that really means a piece of data or information that has value to a government or a collection agency that seeks to collect it.

There are many, many billions of pieces of information or data that exist in this world that the C.I.A. and the MSS don't care about.

They are very focused on a narrower set of information or data that specifically aligns with the questions they're trying to answer for their national security customers and that would be intelligence.

- Now, I believe you've defined intelligence, though, even more broadly in some of your writings, haven't you? Haven't you talked about this concept that information is what you collect. Intelligence is the analysis you conduct to take the value from the information to answer questions; is that accurate?
- A That's another way of thinking about it. You take large volumes -- but, again, you can't take large volumes of irrelevant information like soybeans and understand

1 intelligence about Chinese nuclear weapons, so the actual 2 information itself has to at least be within the scope of 3 what would eventually be the focus of the intelligence 4 analysis. 5 But intelligence doesn't necessarily mean on behalf of 6 government, does it? 7 Α No, there's certainly corporate and commercial and 8 business intelligence, yes --9 And, in fact --10 -- conducted by corporations. 11 And, in fact, corporations do that all the time, 12 right, collect publicly available information and have a 13 team of individuals who analyze that and draw out the useful 14 conclusions or --15 Α Right. -- information from it; correct? 16 17 Yes. The distinction I would make, though, is the 18 difference in authorities. You asked me about my 19 information collection activities in Beijing, and I was 20 careful to clarify that there are certain activities that I 21 am legally prohibited from engaging in that are the sole 22 purview of the U.S. Government. 23 Similarly there are plenty of collection activities 24 that Chinese entities could engage in; but when we're 25 talking about the intelligence operations that break foreign

- 1 laws, that tends to be the purview of organizations like the 2 MSS. 3 Let's talk about laws. The MSS operates within China; 4 correct? 5 Yes. Okay. When it's operating within China, it's 6 7 obligated to follow Chinese laws and customs; correct? 8 Α In theory, yes. 9 In theory. And those are the laws that are relevant 10 to the MSS in China; correct? 11 But the Chinese legal system is completely penetrated Α 12 by the Chinese Communist Party, so I would argue that you're 13 not getting the same kind of independent judicial review 14 that the judge represents. 15 Q Well, my question is: When the MSS operates in China, they're required to follow Chinese law; right? 16 17 They are formally required to do so, yes. Α 18 And those laws may be different than U.S. law; 19 correct? 20 They are. Okay. You testified regarding the structure of the 21 22 MSS, and these various divisions and Bureaus. What is the 23 basis for your opinions regarding how the MSS is structured 24 both nationally and at the provincial level?
- 25 **A** There's a surprising amount of publicly available

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q

information about the MSS. It is difficult to find. almost entirely in Chinese. There is no single source that lays all of it out; but, frankly, we've had to piece it together over years from small pieces of data. Can you identify for the Jury any of this data that you're relying on to opine regarding the structure of the MSS? So, you know, good example would be Chinese technical journal articles in which a representative of the MSS would identify the organization that they're associated with in the technical journal article. There are trade shows. There are patents. There are a number of situations in which, because it's in Chinese, there is a sense that somehow this is not being noticed. And -- but, like I said, there is no single source. mean, I -- you know, for my dissertation I found a book in China that was publicly available that talked extensively about how the MSS de-commercialized its business enterprises in 1998 and named specific numbered Bureaus, so it's possible to do. It's just extremely difficult. So you're not able to identify anything specifically for this Jury; correct? Well, it wouldn't be much use to the Jury since it's all in Chinese.

Okay. Haven't you noted in the past that there's very

- little known about the inner workings and decision making structure of the MSS?
 - A That is certainly true. It is largely a black box.
 - Q Okay. And, in fact, you've referenced a number of times your book, Chinese Industrial Espionage; correct?
 - A Uh-huh.

book?

- Q And I looked in the index of your book, and which, again, is titled Industrial Espionage. Do you know how many times Ministry of State Security is referenced in this
- 11 A Not very many because the book is primarily about
 12 non-traditional collection, which is not conducted by the
 13 MSS.
- Q Okay. Two references to the MSS. How many divisions does the MSS have?
 - A I know of at least 18, and they are Bureaus not divisions. That's an important term of difference in Chinese.
- **Q** Okay. What does the first Bureau do?
- **A** The First Bureau, I believe -- you have me without my 21 notes -- is responsible for operations within China.
- **Q** And what's your basis for opining on that?
- **A** Because of defector testimony that was included in Nick Eftimiades book Chinese Intelligence Operations.
- **Q** Who was the author again?

```
1
       Α
             Nicholas Eftimiades.
 2
             Is that his book from 1994?
       0
 3
             It is.
       Α
             So your reliance is on a book from 1994 to discuss the
 4
 5
       current structure of MSS's First Bureau today?
             As a student of Chinese politics, I can tell you that
 6
7
       there are many, many parts of Chinese system that are --
 8
       have great continuity back going decades. There was a major
 9
       MSS re-organization in 2018 in which a number of Bureaus
10
       were renumbered.
11
             Okay. Let's focus on the Sixth Bureau specifically.
12
       Do you know how many members are in the Sixth Bureau?
13
             I do not.
14
             Do you know all of the functions performed by the
15
       Sixth Bureau?
16
             I do not.
       Α
17
             Do you know whether the Sixth Bureau may engage in
18
       open source collection and analysis?
19
             It wouldn't surprise me, but I can't tell you for
20
       certain.
21
             You don't know either way --
       Q
22
       Α
             No.
23
             -- correct?
       Q
24
             It's a secret intelligence agency. They don't tend to
```

publish a lot of that information.

25

1 Okay. Would that be the same as -- with respect to Q 2 the provincial office that some information may be known but 3 a lot of information may not be known --4 That's correct. Α 5 -- is that fair? That's fair. 6 7 Okay. Back on this topic of domestic 8 counterintelligence, this is when China, right, is seeking 9 to protect itself, its own national interest, from what it 10 considers to be foreign threats; correct? 11 Α Yes. 12 And we discussed a little earlier how China has used 13 surveillance monitoring to ensure that its interests are 14 protected and to monitor what's going on within its 15 boarders; correct? 16 Α Yes. 17 Could hacking be a technique used to do that as well 18 in your experience? 19 Α Yes. 20 Okay. And, in fact, I think you've testified that 21 China has really made information dominance a central 22 priority -- a central military priority; correct? 23 Α Yes. 24 And, in fact, the Government of China sees as a threat 25 allowing information to be exchanged to flow, but that it

1 hasn't -- does not have control over; correct? 2 Very much so. Α 3 And I think you just testified that they certainly 4 regard cyber intrusions as a legitimate tool of preserving 5 national power, correct, would that be a fair characterization? 6 7 The planetary scale level of their activity would Α 8 suggest the answer is yes. 9 Okay. And this includes surveillance of people, 10 companies, basically any entity or any individual within the 11 country that they would view as important or necessary to 12 surveil; correct? 13 Α Yes. 14 Okay. And another purpose of that is to maintain this 15 idealogical purity; correct? 16 Α Correct. 17 And this all sort of flows back, doesn't it, to this 18 one state concept? 19 Α Very much so, yes. 20 Okay. Now, when you talked about sort of this one 21 state concept, China doesn't really have private and public 22 companies like you would think of in the United States; 23 correct? 24 There are companies in China that I would regard as 25 private. Hauwai, for instance, because there is no

identified state ownership.

My point earlier in my testimony was to say that under the current leadership, they appear to have shifted back to a much greater emphasis on State ownership and State capitalism at the expense of private enterprise.

- Q Okay. So is it fair to characterize a broad sloth of the economy of the businesses operating in China as having some affiliation with the Government or some owner -- or the Government having some ownership interest or control of them?
- A No. I think actually a larger percentage of the economy is actually private enterprise. I was -- again, I was speaking to the emphasis under the current administration; but I will point out that in the last three or four years, the central government has insisted that even private enterprises now set up their own Communist Party committee cells within those companies, and so implying that even private enterprises are being brought under broader State control.
- Q Have you ever heard of the term the military civilian fusion?
- \mathbf{A} Yes.
- **Q** What does that term mean to you?
- A Military civilian fusion is an important strategy that
 has been promulgated at the very highest levels of the

1 Chinese Government that operates basically in two 2 directions. 3 It's meant to say that if there is science or industrial progress in the civilian economy, that there 4 5 should be a conduit by which those breakthroughs make their 6 way to military modernization; and if there are science and 7 technology breakthroughs in military modernization, there 8 should be a way to convert those into advances on the 9 civilian economic side, and thus the term fusion of the 10 military and the civilian economies. 11 So as I think about it, you could have military 12 economy here (Indicating), right, civilian military here 13 (Indicating), and they're siloed, and there's not a lot of 14 interaction between the two. 15 Is this fusion really describing increased interaction 16 between the two in a more symbiotic relationship? 17 It's meant to breakdown those silos, yes. Α 18 To pursue, I assume, some of these policy goals that 19 the Government has set out; correct? 20 Yes, ma'am. 21 And there's nothing illegal about this type of fusion, 22 is there? 23 Α No. 24 Okay. In fact, it could be -- it could be a positive 25 in terms of allowing the Government to better set policy and

1 achieve those policies; correct? 2 It could be positive for the Chinese Government, yes. 3 Okay. Now, you testified regarding an entity called SASAC; correct? 4 5 Yeah, SASAC, yeah. And what is SASAC again? 6 7 The State-Owned Asset Supervision Administration 8 Commission. 9 Okay. And as I understood it, this is a commission 10 that is established -- they're primarily policy planners 11 with supervisory control of assets that are held in 12 State-Owned Enterprises and their subsidiaries; correct? 13 Correct. 14 Do you know how many State-Owned Enterprises are under 15 SASAC's control? 16 Α Dozens. 17 Would it surprise you to know that at least as of 2009 18 there are over 100? 19 Yeah, it wouldn't surprise me at all. Α 20 And that as of 2009, there are over 17,000 21 subsidiaries? 22 Α Uh-huh, (Witness nods head affirmatively.) 23 Do you know to what extent SASAC is this large entity controlling, what, billions in assets? 24 25 Trillions probably. Α

148

1 Q Trillions? 2 Α Yeah. 3 Do you know what role they would have in day-to-day operations of any individual entity? 4 5 I would be surprised if they had a significant day-to-day role, but they're deeply involved in major 6 7 corporate decisions related to doing IPOs in foreign stock 8 markets, finding investment opportunities, and things along 9 those lines. It's a very high level policy organization. 10 Okay. So their job, as you understand it, isn't to 11 run any of the entities below it. It's really to serve sort 12 of in this supervisory function and this policy making 13 function --14 Yes. Α 15 Q -- is that correct? 16 Yes. Α 17 Okay. Back to this discussion of, you know, cyber 18 intrusions and protecting national intelligence, you 19 mentioned you, you know, have had interactions with the 20 intelligence agencies in the United States. 21 The United States intelligence agencies are authorized 22 to engage in certain cyber intrusions to protect national 23 security, are they not? 24 I assume so. I don't have any direct knowledge. 25 Have you heard of Presidential Directive 28, which Q

25

1 specifically allows for the collection of foreign, private, 2 commercial information or trade secrets to protect national 3 security? Are you familiar with that? I am. 4 Α And that would, in fact, authorize the U.S. Government 5 6 for national security purposes to commit what is referred to 7 as signals intelligence, right, cyber intelligence, cyber 8 activity to protect national security? 9 There's one key difference, which is, that that is 10 commercial economic espionage to provide information to the 11 U.S. Government, not provide information to Exxon Mobil. 12 Okay. Q 13 And that is an argument and a debate that I have had 14 with Chinese interlocutors for more than 15 years. 15 Q But we just discussed previously, correct, that cyber 16 intrusions could also be engaged in by Chinese intelligence, 17 including the Ministry of State Security for national 18 security purposes; correct? 19 That is true, yes. Α 20 And that is something that's allowed under 21 Presidential Directive 28 specifically in the United States; 22 correct? 23 Α Yes. 24 Okay. Now, as you work through the chart that you

talked about, you went -- talked about a number of these

- 1 different entities, and I'd like to talk a little bit about 2 a few of these entities. 3 First, NUAA. Can you explain, again, to the Jury what NUAA is? 4 5 Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics is a very large defense industrial university, tens of 6 7 thousands of students and faculty that largely conduct 8 research on aviation and aerospace topics. In other words, 9 aircraft and space vehicles. 10 And it has undergraduates and provides undergraduate 11 degrees in aviation-related fields; correct? 12 It does. Α 13 Okay. And it has graduate programs that provide 14 degrees, graduate degrees in these areas as well; correct? 15 Α Yes. 16 And it has a large body of professors who teach the 17 courses within each of these areas of study; correct? 18 Α Yes. 19 And NUAA also has many collaborations, relationships 20 with universities in the United States, are you aware of 21 that? 22 Α I would find that hard to believe because NUAA is on 23 the Commerce Department entity list --24 Well, let's --Q
- 25 **A** -- and, therefore, there would be restrictions about

25

1 sharing technology with them. 2 So it surprises you to know that they have 3 affiliations with Temple University, Stonybrook, Purdue University, University of Texas, University of Virginia, 4 5 just over time? 6 I, I would want to know the contents of those, and I 7 would want to know whether the U.S. authorities responsible for enforcing our export control regimes know about it, 8 9 yes. 10 And, in fact, are you aware that NUAA has a broad 11 based alumni organization in the United States? 12 In fact, that's discussed in my 2013 book. 13 And would you agree that it's highly likely that many 14 of those alumni are working in companies throughout the 15 United States? 16 It's possible. 17 Okay. And you don't know to what extent any of these 18 entities you described in your chart that are above NUAA, 19 what role, if any, they have in the day-to-day operations of 20 NUAA; correct? 21 I do have some understanding of their roles. Α 22 How is the leadership structure at NUAA specifically 23 structured? 24 It is structured like most universities. You have a

thick administrative layer, followed by the academic college

25

1 and department systems, and then there is a parallel set of 2 national defense and state key labs subordinate to NUAA. 3 The key difference being that party Secretary of NUAA has 4 more power than the President of the NUAA. 5 Okay. Do you know who that individual is? 6 I have no idea what his name is. No, I do not. 7 Okay. So as I understand it, what you're describing 8 is your general understanding of the university system, not 9 specifically what is taking place within NUAA itself; 10 correct? 11 That is true, but I have direct experience having Α 12 actually attended a Chinese university for two years, 13 yes. 14 And when did you attend that university? 0 15 Α 1991 and 1992. 16 Okay. Thank you. Now, as a university that offers 0 17 programs in aviation, that offers areas of study in 18 aviation, that has undergraduates and graduate students, 19 wouldn't you agree that as with universities all throughout 20 the United States, it would be very beneficial and very 21 common for NUAA to hold conferences and invite speakers from 22 overseas or elsewhere to attend? 23 Α Yes. 24 Okay. And to have exchange programs where they bring

in an expert and have that expert present to maybe a smaller

153

```
1
       group?
 2
       Α
             Yes.
 3
             And in your experience, is it uncommon for
 4
       universities, particularly universities with particular
 5
       areas of study like aviation, to have relationships with
       trade associations that may also operate in that area?
 6
7
       Α
             Yes.
 8
             Okay. So nothing illegal about that in general?
 9
       Nothing improper about that in general; correct?
10
             No.
       Α
11
             Okay. And, in fact, you, yourself, have presented at
12
       conferences at universities; isn't that correct?
13
             Yes, but I don't work for an intelligence agency.
14
             How many times -- well, you do work for intelligence
15
       agencies; isn't that correct? You do contract for the
16
       intelligence agencies?
17
             I do not.
       Α
18
             You do not do work for any intelligence agency, the
19
       Department of Homeland Security?
20
             The Department of Homeland Security is not an
21
       intelligence agency.
22
       Q
             Do they do intelligence-type work?
23
       Α
             Not under Title 50, no.
24
             Okay. Department of Defense, they don't have any
25
       types of functions like that?
```

- 1 A Department of Defense does, yes.
- 2 Q Okay. And you -- you testified that you, in fact,
- 3 have done work for the Department of Defense; correct?
- 4 A That is true.
- 5 Q Okay. I'd like to now talk a little bit about this
- 6 discussion -- your testimony regarding technology transfer.
- 7 That is a primary focus of a lot of your writings recently,
- 8 isn't it?
- 9 **A** Yes.
- 10 **Q** Okay. And I think you mentioned the first book that
- 11 you wrote, correct, Chinese Industrial Espionage and a more
- 12 recent book; correct?
- 13 **A** (Witness nods head affirmatively.)
- 14 Q Now, I'd like to ask you about technology transfer.
- 15 What do you mean by that?
- 16 **A** Well, as I said in my direct testimony, there is
- 17 | both -- there's legitimate legal technology transfer that
- are negotiated between companies, and then there is illicit
- 19 technology transfer, in which trade secrets and technology
- 20 are, in fact, inappropriately transferred.
- 21 **Q** All right. Let's separate that out. One, you're
- 22 | talking about a body of enforcement relating to trade
- 23 secrets; correct?
- 24 A Uh-huh. Yes.
- 25 **Q** And that's, that's what this case is about; correct?

1 Efforts to steal trade secrets under U.S. law; correct? 2 Yes, correct. 3 Okay. But then you continued on. What else did you include in that answer? You said trade secrets and other 4 5 information; right? Right. As you know, trade secret is a term of art 6 that has a specific legal meaning that does not encompass 7 8 all of the things that are stolen in industrial espionage. 9 And, in fact, there may not be a regime to enforce the 10 stealing -- as you would say -- or the obtaining of 11 information that is not a trade secret; correct? 12 I'm not a lawyer, ma'am, but a lot of that would 13 seemingly fall under 18 U.S.C. 1831 in contrast to 1832. 14 So when you talk about technology transfer, are you 15 only talking about technology transfer involving trade 16 secrets? 17 When talking about illegal technology transfer, no. 18 am talking about a much broader universe than simply just 19 trade secrets. 20 Okay. So would it be fair to say you have had 21 concerns and have written about a broader body of 22 information that you believe may implicate national security 23 concerns but exchanges of which may be unregulated today? 24 I, I, I -- I agree with all of that except the word 25 unregulated. I believe that there are other parts of the

1 Export Control Reform Act, other U.S. laws that govern the 2 other areas that I'm concerned about that are beyond simply 3 the trade secrets. 4 Okay. So you recognize there are laws governing 5 exchanges and obtaining of trade secrets, and you're saying 6 there may be other laws that govern this broader area, including export controls or something else? 7 8 Α Yes, I do -- I do believe that there are other laws 9 that govern the other aspects of those tech transfers. 10 Okay. But sitting here today, you can't identify 11 those? 12 I already identified 18 U.S.C. 1831 Economic 13 Espionage. I identified the Export Control Reform Act. 14 I've identified a wide variety of complementary laws to the 15 trade secret theft law. 16 Now, do you recall in September of 2020, presenting at 17 the Fairbank Center for Chinese Studies? 18 I do. Α 19 And do you recall testifying regarding your concerns 20 about non-traditional collection, and your concerns about 21 information that may not constitute a trade secret? 22 Α I have a vague recollection of it, yes. 23 Okay. And in that testimony, you referred to this 24 concept of non-traditional collection, right, and talked 25 about this could be researchers in labs or information

1 exchanges involving universities; but it's this broad body 2 of collection that might not necessarily involve a trade 3 secret; correct? 4 Yes. Α Okay. And do you recall opining that your opinion at 5 6 the time was that, in fact, that broad body of collection 7 fell well outside of the statutes governing trade secrets 8 and that this was an area that may be in need of additional 9 enforcement? 10 I agree with that, yes. 11 Okay. I'd like to talk a little bit about technology 12 transfer in China. On your direct testimony you mentioned 13 that there are legal ways, correct, that China is obtaining 14 information regarding foreign technology; correct? 15 Α Yes. Foreign companies willingly enter into 16 agreements to transfer technology, yes. 17 Well, let's step back for a minute and talk about 18 China. China has been playing catch up in some of these 19 sectors, including aviation; is that fair? It is particularly true in aviation, yes. 20 21 And part of what China's doing -- has been doing for 22 many, many years is building a comprehensive system of 23 identifying relevant open source publicly available 24 information, collecting it, analyzing it, and trying to get 25 a leg up on what's already known, what's already done before

1 it takes the next step; correct? 2 Α Yes. 3 Again, that's something U.S. businesses -- the U.S. Government do all the time, isn't it? 4 5 Just not at the scale and centrally delected 6 (verbatim) level that the Chinese Government does it, yes, 7 but it is accurate to say other people do it. 8 And, in fact, it's an attribute to the Chinese 9 Government, isn't it, that it's been able to develop such a 10 comprehensive system for collecting and analyzing publicly 11 available information? 12 They do a very good job of it, yes. 13 They don't want to re-invent the wheel, is that 14 another way of describing it? 15 Α They want to skip stages of development and save the billions of dollars they would have to spend doing the R&D 16 17 by themselves, yes. 18 And, in fact, that's what companies in the U.S. do, 19 don't they? They, they find all the available R&D that's 20 out there and build from that? U.S. companies legally study what is -- the research 21 22 that is going on in other countries so that they can learn 23 from it, yes. 24 Okay. And to the extent China 's doing that from 25 publicly available information, that's perfectly legal,

1 isn't it? 2 As long as that's exactly what it is, yes. 3 Okay. Now, there's -- as I mentioned, there's many, 4 many ways China can obtain information about foreign technology through legal means; is that correct? 5 6 Yes. Α 7 Q One way is reverse engineering; is that correct? 8 Α Yes. 9 Can you describe to the Jury what reverse engineering 10 is? 11 So you could buy a Dyson vacuum cleaner, and you could 12 take it a part and measure all of the components of it, and 13 then build a tooling and dying system and then build an 14 identical replica of a Dyson vacuum cleaner, and that's 15 reverse engineering. 16 In other words, taking it a part, understanding how it 17 works, and then rebuilding it. 18 So that's one legal way China can learn about foreign 19 technology, right, reverse engineering? Take the product, 20 take it a part, see how it's made, and seek to replicate it; 21 correct? 22 I would say that Dyson might disagree with you about 23 that given that that's their patented product. 24 If they don't interfere with patent and simply learn 25 sort of how to make a better version of that through reverse

1 engineering --2 Well, I --Α 3 -- that is a way ---- I know, for instance, that it violates the user 4 5 license agreement for you to take a part your iPhone, so 6 there are restrictions that prevent you from doing that. 7 But you don't know what restrictions would apply in 8 China; correct? 9 I would think that Dyson would have a civil case if 10 someone reverse engineered their vacuum cleaner, and then 11 started producing one that looked just like it. 12 Okay. But you don't know what law in China would 13 apply pertaining to this; correct? 14 No, I do not. Α 15 Okay. You mentioned patents. Patent mining is 16 another way that China can learn a lot of information about 17 foreign technology; correct? 18 Yes. Particularly patent trolling where China is Α 19 what's called a first-past-the-post patent system, which 20 means that the first person to patent something to China is 21 presumed to have the original patent, and so you could 22 simply copy -- as they do -- foreign patents, and then 23 registered those patents in China and then force foreign 24 companies to have to buy back their own patent. 25 So let's talk about patents. Patent filings contain a Q

1 lot of technical information regarding a product; right? 2 Α Right. 3 Patent filings in the United States --4 Α True. 5 -- contain a lot of technical information. 6 looking at that technical information, in any specific area, 7 a Chinese company can learn a lot about technology in the 8 United States; right? 9 And that's the trade off of the patent system, and 10 that's why many companies don't actually patent their 11 technologies because they don't want their competitors to 12 find out how things are built. 13 But this -- a lot of this information is public; 14 correct? 15 Α Absolutely. 16 And it's information that's public to someone from 17 China as much as someone in the United States; correct? 18 Α Yes. 19 Okay. Another way -- and really this is in collecting 20 technology, but on your direct you talked about funding for 21 research and development; correct? 22 Α Uh-huh. 23 And is it fair to say that China has dramatically 24 increased its funding for science and technology research 25 and development?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Okay. In fact, China in 2020 spent more on research
- 3 and development in science and technology areas than the
- 4 United States, were you aware of that?
- 5 **A** Yes.
- 7 | was \$378 billion to \$134 billion in the United States?
- 8 A That sounds right.
- 10 be obtaining technology -- technological information
- 11 overseas, but they're spending a lot of money today and over
- 12 the last decade on their own research and development; isn't
- 13 that correct?
- 14 **A** That is correct.
- 15 **Q** Another way that China can obtain information about
- 16 | foreign and technology is joint ventures, isn't it?
- 17 **A** Yes.
- 18 Q And, in fact, many, many multinational corporations
- 19 from the United States have entered into joint ventures in
- 20 China; isn't that correct?
- 21 **A** It is.
- 22 **Q** And, in fact, GE itself has joint ventures in China;
- 23 correct?
- 24 **A** I don't have direct knowledge of that.
- 25 **Q** Are you aware of the GE AVIC -- and this is the

1 company you talked about earlier, the aviation company. Are 2 you aware of a joint venture between GE and AVIC to 3 manufacture avionic systems? 4 I am not. Α 5 Okay. How about a joint venture between AVIC and 6 Honeywell, another company in the United States that's been 7 discussed today? 8 I'm not aware of that specific arrangement. 9 How about Boeing, are you familiar with a joint 10 venture between AVIC and Boeing? 11 I know Boeing does co-production of airplane tails and Α 12 doors in Xi'an; but other than that, I'm not aware of 13 anything. 14 And these are joint ventures with AVIC, a company you 15 testified about earlier; correct? 16 Α Yes. 17 Okay. So apparently these companies at least view 18 them as a, a legitimate and viable business partner and one 19 that is worth entering into a joint venture; correct? 20 Sure. 21 Okay. Foreign R&D labs, that's another way that China 22 can gain information and access to foreign technology; 23 correct? 24 Α Yes. 25 Can you describe to the Jury sort of foreign labs in Q

164

1 China, is that a growing area? 2 So foreign labs in China --Α 3 Correct. -- is that what you said? Yes. So about a decade 4 5 ago, the Ministry of Science and Technology that I mentioned 6 in the chart as away of accelerating technology transfer 7 began to insist that companies doing business in China open 8 up R&D labs inside the country, which then would have 9 Chinese students and people working there as a way of 10 facilitating technology transfer, yes. 11 And, in fact, I think you've described it as China 12 leveraging its market, the need for these companies, the 13 desire for these companies to come into China to obtain that 14 access to that technology; right? 15 Α Yes. The primary motivation for foreign companies transferring their technology inside labs like that is 16 17 precisely to convince the Regulator Ministry to give them 18 access to the China market. 19 And I think you've got a segment in your book you talk 20 about trade for technology; correct? 21 Uh-huh, yes. Α 22 Q And is that what you're talking about here? 23 Α Yes. 24 So it's really a pay-to-play system? Q 25 Α Yes.

- 165 JAMES MULVENON, Ph.D. -- CROSS 1 And that's not illegal? Q 2 It is not. 3 If China wants to enter into a contract with GE 4 Aviation and have GE Aviation turn over its proprietary 5 information to get a foot in the door, that's a legal 6 method of obtaining access to that technology; is that 7 correct? 8 As long as that technology is not export controlled, 9 yes. 10 Okay. And there are a lot of reasons these 11 multinational corporations want to have access to China; 12 correct? 13 Almost entirely financial, yes. 14 Okay. What are some of the reasons from your -- in 15 your experience that a multinational corporation would agree 16 to set up a lab in China? 17 Α Greed. 18 Okay. How about tapping into the expertise of the, 19 the students, the experts in the field?
- 21 Cheap labor. Maybe more educated labor; correct --Q

Sure. Cheap labor.

22 Α Yes.

Α

20

25

- 23 -- in a specific area? Isn't another reason for these 24 labs and the reason these multinational corporations want to
 - go into these labs that it helps them improve the

166

1 penetration of the Chinese market? 2 The research I've seen suggested it primarily improves 3 what's called localization of the product, so it makes the product more amenable to the specific features of the China 4 5 market. 6 So you may have a product in the U.S., take the 7 iPhone --8 Α Yeah. 9 -- that you know U.S. consumers want certain functions 10 on it; correct? 11 Uh-huh. Α 12 But maybe the Chinese market, a very large Chinese 13 market may prefer different functions? 14 Uh-huh. Α 15 Are you saying one of the benefits of these labs is 16 that helps a company understand these differences and tailor 17 their product more to that local market? 18 The research suggests that that's the primary benefit Α 19 that has been obtained from these foreign labs over the 20 years. 21 So there are benefits to these companies in setting up 22 these labs? 23 Α Yes. 24 They recognize they may have to give up some of their 25 rights in technology and proprietary information, but

25

Α

Yes.

1 they're making a business decision that that is worth the 2 cost of breaking into that market and getting the know-how 3 they need to sell in that market; correct? 4 That is correct, yes. Α 5 Okay. And, in fact, since 2000, since -- there has 6 been an explosion in the number of foreign R&D labs situated 7 in China; isn't that correct? 8 Α Yes. 9 Okay. I've seen numbers saying from two thousand 10 thirty labs to over twelve hundred and two ten. I'm sure 11 it's even larger now. Does that sound about right to you? 12 That's in the ballpark, yeah. 13 And these companies can include Microsoft, IBM, 14 Motorola, Siemens, GE; is that correct? 15 Α Yes. 16 And, in fact, I believe you may have referenced in one 17 of your writings that GE actually developed its ultra 18 compact medical imaging technology in China in Beijing at a 19 lab? 20 Yes. 21 Now, you also talk about another popular arrangement 22 that some of these entities do, and that's to set up a lab 23 but to go to a university and set up a lab at the 24 university; correct?

168 JAMES MULVENON, Ph.D. -- CROSS 1 What are the benefits, in your experience, of setting Q 2 up a research and development lab at a Chinese 3 university? Easy access to knowledgeable professors and students. 4 5 And it helps them build relationships and ties; 6 right? 7 Α Yes. 8 To individuals that may help them then develop the 9 market that they want to have in that country to sell their 10 product; correct? 11 Α Yes. 12 And it's also a way to educate potential employees; 13 correct? 14 Yes. Α 15 Q And introduce new products to local markets; correct? 16 Yes. Α 17 So, again, another legal way China is leveraging its 18 market to obtain science and technology information legally 19 from companies overseas; correct? 20 Yes. Okay. Another area of -- I think, you had referred to 21 22 this as non-traditional collection or talent programs. Can 23 you explain to the Jury what a talent program is? 24 So the Chinese -- we've identified about 507 national

and provincial level talent programs, and what they are are

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

efforts by organizations at those levels to identify scholars living abroad. In many cases it's members of the Chinese diaspora -- so people who have left China to go study abroad and then stayed abroad working -- and to give them financial grants to conduct research; but there's always a very specific requirement that says that they have to return to China for periodic short periods of time, interact with their Chinese colleagues and in some cases share or turn over the rights to the technology or the, or whatever they develop using that money. And so this is a very popular thing for China to do; and like I said, there are hundreds of these different talent programs. So China identifies experts, many who are Chinese nationals or Chinese origin; correct? Α Correct. Who may be in the United States, who have a high level of expertise in some area --Uh-huh. Α -- they may be a researcher, they may be a professor, but often these are looking at people who are highly qualified; is that correct? Α Yes. And China is -- has developed programs to encourage

them to come back to China to use their expertise and apply

1 their expertise to help China develop technology science in 2 certain areas; correct? 3 That is correct. And, again, this is a perfectly legal relationship, 4 5 correct, or can be? Can be provided that they follow the rules. You're 6 7 aware that there are dozens of cases around the country of 8 people who are being charged with wire fraud and tax fraud 9 and Visa fraud for failing to report that income either to 10 their home university or to the U.S. Government agencies 11 that were also providing them with parallel grants. 12 I'm well aware of most of those cases, and, in fact, I 13 think you just explained that many of those cases, if not 14 the majority, have been brought under statutes different 15 from the statutes at issue in this case; correct? 16 MR. McKENZIE: Objection, Your Honor. We're 17 getting pretty far afield from the scope of direct 18 examination. 19 THE COURT: Overruled. Ms. Cors, it's after 4:30. 20 How much more do you have? 21 MS. CORS: Your Honor, I can finish up probably in 22 15 minutes. 23 THE COURT: 15? 24 MS. CORS: 15. Or if you would like to break, I'm 25 fine finishing up tomorrow morning.

```
1
                THE COURT: Once she finishes her 15 minutes, then
       he gets to ask more questions, and then she gets to ask more
2
 3
       questions.
                One of the things I try to do is keep my promises
 4
 5
       to the Jurors. I told you you'd go at 4:30. If we stay
 6
       until five, would it be a problem? If it is, raise your
       hand? And I'm not going to be offended.
7
 8
       (No Jurors raised their hand.)
 9
                THE COURT: You can stay here and get this done; is
10
       that right?
11
       (The Jurors nodded their heads affirmatively.)
12
                THE COURT: Did you wish to be heard, sir?
13
                MR. McKENZIE: Yes, I just want to point out that
14
       the witness has --
15
                THE COURT: I can't hear you.
16
                MR. McKENZIE: I just wanted to point out that the
17
       witness has a flight tonight, so if we can try to finish
18
       today, I would appreciate it, Your Honor.
19
                THE COURT: Well, you can be a part of that. Go
20
       ahead, Ms. Cors.
21
                MS. CORS: Thank you, Your Honor.
22
       BY MS. CORS:
             Another legal method of technology -- learning about
23
24
       technology is expert exchanges; correct?
25
       Α
             Yes.
```

1 Okay. And this could be when a university, a trade Q 2 association, someone -- other entity invites experts from 3 overseas to come share general knowledge about an area of study, aviation, for example; correct? 4 5 Yes. Α 6 These are very common in the United States, are they 7 not? 8 Α Yes. 9 Holding conferences where individuals from around the 10 world come to share information about the level of where the 11 technology is at any given moment in an industry is very 12 common; correct? 13 Α Yes. 14 And you're aware that companies like GE Aviation even 15 invite people in to talk about best practices or, you know, 16 the research that's out there? 17 Α Yes. 18 Okay. And, again, a perfectly legal way to obtain 19 information regarding foreign science and technology and 20 developments; correct? 21 Yes. There are rules that need to be followed; but as 22 long as those rules are followed, than it is legal, yes. 23 Back on this open source system, China has an 24 extraordinary well-developed expansive system for collecting 25 open source information; correct?

- 1 A It's very impressive, yes.
- 2 Q And, in fact, that's the subject of an entire chapter
- 3 in your book; is that correct?
- 4 Yes, I did not write that chapter; but, yes, you're
- 5 right.
- 6 Q Okay. And as we've discussed, the collection of
- 7 publicly available open source information is perfectly
- 8 legal in the United States, around the world, and very
- 9 common; correct?
- 10 **A** Yes.
- 11 **Q** And applying analysis -- taking that information,
- 12 analyzing it, is not nefarious either. That's something
- companies and governments do all over the world, don't
- 14 they?
- 15 **A** Yes.
- 16 **Q** And to the extent this information is publicly
- available as open source information, you don't see anything
- 18 illegal or improper about collecting it?
- 19 **A** I've built a career on it.
- 20 **Q** And the fact that a government agency in China may be
- 21 involved in identifying what information is needed or
- 22 helping to narrow down what information to collect, that
- 23 doesn't make that collection illegal, does it?
- 24 A Open source collection is not illegal, no.
- 25 **Q** Okay.

1 THE COURT: This is beginning to sound redundant. 2 MS. CORS: Okay. I'll move on, Your Honor. If 3 you'll give me just a minute, Your Honor. (Ms. Cors reviews her notes.) 4 5 BY MS. CORS: 6 And when you talk about open source information, I believe in one of your recent presentations and in your 7 8 recent book, you talk about open source collection can also 9 include verbal information; is that correct? 10 Α Yes. 11 And what is verbal information? Can you explain that 12 to the Jury? 13 It's information that isn't written down. It's just 14 information that is shared as I'm talking to you right now. 15 Q And I think you opined that it's an area of 16 intelligence not normally associated with open source and 17 usually something that clandestine intelligence services 18 would engage in, but you've actually -- or the authors of 19 your book prize it for timeliness and accuracy; is that 20 correct? 21 Yes. Α 22 So you collect documents that are open source, but 23 then it can be efficient, give you more current information, 24 help provide context if you talk to individuals about that 25 information; correct?

```
Correct. One of the concerns about the talent
1
       Α
 2
       programs is that that's precisely why it's being used to
 3
       fill-in the gaps that are missing in documentary evidence.
             But filling in gaps is not necessarily illegal;
 4
 5
       correct?
             Not if the information isn't protected, no.
 6
             Okay. And specifically if it's not a trade secret;
7
 8
       correct?
 9
             Correct.
10
                MS. CORS: One minute, Your Honor.
11
       (Ms. Cors confers with co-counsel.)
12
                MS. CORS: I have no further questions, Your Honor.
13
                THE COURT: Very well.
14
                MR. McKENZIE: Your Honor, the Government has no
15
       further questions for this witness.
16
                THE COURT: Very well. Sir, you may step down.
17
       You're free to go.
18
                THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.
19
       (The witness exited the witness stand.)
20
                THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of the Jury,
21
       you've had a big day. You've done a great job. Thank you
22
       for hanging in there.
23
                It's especially important when you go home today
24
       that you remember, don't talk about it. When somebody you
25
       love says, oh, what happened? Who testified? What kind of
```

1 case is it? 2 You answer one of those questions, and you're out 3 talking about the case outside the walls of this room. take the break. 4 5 No talking about the case with anybody, including 6 yourselves or anybody at home. No checking it out on the 7 news, if any. No Google, Twitter, Instagram, Snapchat, none 8 of that electronic checking. Put it behind you for the 9 evening. 10 Enjoy your break; and, remember -- I'll tell you 11 this every day -- continue to keep an open mind. We're just 12 getting started. But the thrust of my message is, good 13 effort. 14 Out of respect for you, we want to start tomorrow, 15 same deal, be in the courthouse by 9:15, so we can try and 16 get you at 9:30. I'll be working hard to accomplish that. 17 If you wish to pray for me tonight, you're welcomed 18 to. You're not required to. 19 Out of respect for you, we'll rise as you leave. 20 COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise for the Jury. 21 (The Jury exited the courtroom.) 22 THE COURT: Jury has a left the room, and the door 23 is closed. Is there anything that requires my attention 24 before we recess for the day from the Government? 25 MR. MANGAN: No, Your Honor.

```
1
                THE COURT: From the Defense?
2
                MS. CORS: No, Your Honor.
 3
                THE COURT: Good effort. We're in recess until
 4
       tomorrow.
 5
                COURTROOM DEPUTY: This Court's now in recess.
 6
       (The trial was recessed at 4:43 p.m.)
7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER	
2		
3	I, Julie Hohenstein, Federal Official Realtime	
4	Court Reporter, in and for the United States District Court	
5	for the Southern District of Ohio, do hereby certify that	
6	pursuant to Section 753, Title 28, United States Code that	
7	the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the	
3	stenographically reported proceedings held in the	
9	above-entitled matter and that the transcript page format is	
)	in conformance with the regulations of the Judicial	
-	Conference of the United States.	
2		
3		
	s/Julie Hohenstein	
	JULIE HOHENSTEIN, RPR, CRR, RMR FEDERAL OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
	FEDERAL OFFICIAL COOK! REPORTER	
)		
3		
Į		